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Preface

The legal framework for the supply of goods and services between private market actors is usually provided by two bodies of law: contract law and public (state) regulation. Contracts are essentially a matter of voluntary commitment on both sides, public regulation a matter of public policy. Contracting is driven by individual interests, public regulation by political decisions on matters such as working hours, safety and the need for standardization or the protection of health. The mix of private ordering and public regulation differs from market to market, but across the whole economy there is a borderline between private and public order which means that an issue is covered either by public regulation or by private agreement. This is, to a significant extent, also true of labour law. The labour market is governed, like other markets, in part by private agreements between employees and their employers and in part by public regulation. While labour relations come into being through contracts, numerous issues (such as safety and health at work) are governed by public regulations. But in labour law, since the late nineteenth century, a third body of law has evolved: rules resulting from collective bargaining between trade unions and individual employers or associations of employers.

Collective bargaining includes the right of either side to exert pressure on the other (by collective action, such as a strike and lock-out) with a view to achieving a favourable bargain. It also presupposes the right of either side to form organizations for the conduct of negotiations with the other side. At the European level, this has explicitly been acknowledged in Article 28 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. We find various provisions of a similar thrust in the national constitutions of Member States. At the universal level, analogous rights are ensured by Article 8 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights of 19 December 1966 (939 UNTS 1), which has been ratified by 162 states including the European countries and the People's Republic of China. China stated upon ratification, however, that it is bound by Article 8(1)(a) only in so far as this is consistent with the Constitution of the People's Republic of China, the Trade Union Law of the People's Republic of China and the Labour Law of the People's Republic of China. While collective bargaining is considered a fundamental right of all actors in the labour market, certain limits are
imposed resulting from the right of states to shape labour relations through public regulation. Limits are also imposed on the individual freedom of employees and employers to contract. As a result, labour relations are subject to three bodies of law: individual contracts, public regulation and collective bargaining.

Collective bargaining, which has such a significant position in that triad, was the primary object of a conference – held on 16 and 17 May 2014 – on the subject of “Employee participation and collective bargaining in the era of globalization”. The conference spanned the entire bargaining process, including the prior establishment of appropriate organizations and the various forms of industrial disputes. The conference programme also included workers’ codetermination. Labour organizations in Germany have always considered the participation of employees – both at workplace level and in the boards of companies – to be a sibling of collective bargaining. The Nordic, or Scandinavian, labour model is based on a high level of trade union density and a strong system of collective agreements and employee participation. Chinese laws provide for systems of collective bargaining, collective agreement and employee participation, yet the coverage and function of these systems are to be improved. At the same time, Chinese labour law and industrial relations are undergoing profound changes.

Labour law, for many years, has generally been studied from the perspective of the domestic labour market. Contrary to other parts of private law, comparative labour law still is poorly developed. However, individual labour disputes with a cross-border dimension have, ever since 1900, been decided by courts in many countries. The scholarly analysis of this case law has ultimately led, in the European Union, to the adoption of a conflict rule on individual employment contracts in what is now Article 8 of the Rome I Regulation on the law applicable to contractual obligations. Several judgments of the Court of Justice of the European Union illustrate the significance of this conflict rule. They also highlight the need for a comparative perspective in matters relating to individual and collective labour law. As national frontiers progressively open up for goods and services at a universal level, the significance of comparative research in labour law will only increase in the coming years. Bearing in mind the growing importance of comparative labour law, the organizers of the conference have decided to publish its papers.

The Hamburg conference was part of the research project “Employee participation and collective bargaining in the era of globalization – Nordic and Chinese perspectives”, carried out jointly by the Faculty of Law of the University of Helsinki and the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences (CASS) Institute of Law. It was made possible through the project funding of the Finnish Academy of Sciences, and organized by the Max Planck Institute for Comparative and International Private Law. We would like to express our gratitude to Alice Neffe in Helsinki and Cara Warmuth and Gundula Dau in
Hamburg for carrying out various editorial tasks and the formatting. Finally, we would like to thank Christopher Goddard in Riga and Michael Friedman and Shyam Kapila in Hamburg for contributing to the language revision.

Jürgen Basedow
Su Chen
Matteo Fornasier
Ulla Liukkunen
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Part I:
Setting the Stage

A. Collective Bargaining
and its Interaction with State Legislation
and Individual Employment Contracts
I. Introduction

The aim with this article is to highlight and briefly analyse basic industrial relations features with a focus on labour law in four Nordic (i.e. Scandinavian) countries, including both the collective dimension, with collective bargaining regulations, and certain legislation that directly regulate the individ-
ual employment contract. The article will give an overall account of the situation and developments in Denmark, Norway, Sweden and Finland.¹

Further, the development in Nordic labour law will be discussed, paying attention to the collective agreement model’s position in a legal environment complete with substantive laws and rights connected to the individual labour contract.

To begin with, some historical notes on the development of Nordic labour law will be presented. Thereafter I will set out the labour law regulating, primarily, the collective dimension (which means the relationships between the organized parties on the labour market), including regulations on industrial action and codetermination and more.

Collective bargaining and collective agreements play a fundamental role for the individual employee, and an overview of labour law regulating the individual worker’s rights vis-à-vis the employer in the employment contract will be made in respect of employment protection and discrimination.

The article will not deal with rules on board representation or work environment protection; i.e. labour law substantially regulated through public law, although the labour market parties in collective agreements today have agreed on the way in which to apply these laws and, in particular, matters concerning workers environment, safety committees, etc.

Concerning international law, the article will in the main only deal with European Union (EU) law, even if there are also other international legal instruments that could be observed. In particular the focus will be on the conditions and the development of the private sector.

1. The collective dimension

The collective dimension in employment relations is fundamental in the Nordic countries.² Important aspects of the employer – employee relationship are ruled by collective agreements. These agreements are the result of collective bargaining between trade unions and employers’ associations.

Further, both the globalization process, the internationalization of labour relations and the integration process in the EU challenge not only the national state but also the Nordic model of labour market regulations. Meeting new international standards and commitments while at the same time maintaining a Nordic model will sometimes mean legal conflicts that must be resolved.

¹ Formally, also Iceland is included as a Nordic country but will not be dealt with here.
² A useful source for basic information concerning industrial relations in the Nordic countries (as well as other countries in Europe) is the Eurofound; for country profiles, see <http://eurofound.europa.eu/observatories/eurwork/comparative-information/industrial-relations-country-profiles>. Another comprehensive source is Peter Wahlgren (ed.), Stability and Change in Nordic Labour Law, 2002.
Even if the Nordic model of industrial relations in general is considered to be founded on a collectivistic tradition putting emphasis on the role of trade unions and collective bargaining, there are important varieties between the Nordic countries. Further, there are other significant issues which relate to the regulation of individual workers’ rights in Nordic labour law. For instance, the development of legislation on employment protection and discrimination has meant substantial restrictions for collective bargaining.

2. EU law and some other international legal instruments

Three of the Nordic countries dealt with in this article are members in the EU; Denmark became affiliated to the European Community (EC) in 1973 and Sweden and Finland in 1995. Norway has been a part of the Agreement on the European Economic Area (EEA Agreement) since 1994 and is, accordingly, bound to follow EU labour law.4

Hence, EU law must, together with certain other legal instruments in international law, be considered and followed by the Nordic countries.

In particular, there are some EU directives that should be considered in connection with collective and individual rights respectively.

The EU Charter on Fundamental Rights in the European Union5 values the workers’ right to information and consultation within the undertaking (Article 27) and the right to collective bargaining and industrial action as fundamental rights (Article 28). Beyond that, the EU Charter also protects the employed individual in several respects, such as the right to non-discrimination (Article 21), protection against unjustified dismissal (Article 30) and the right to fair working conditions (Article 31).

Compared with labour law in the Nordic countries, EU law puts more emphasis on individual rights and this fundamental difference has meant new restrictions for collective bargaining, and has an important impact on labour law in the Nordic countries.

The Nordic countries are also bound to follow the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR).6 Article 11 of the ECHR protects the freedom of association, and from the case law the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) it follows that this right also embraces a right to collective bargain-

---

4 The EEA Agreement entered into force on 1 January 1994 and brings together the EU Member States and the three EEA states associated to the European Free Trade Association (EFTA) – Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway – in the EU Internal Market.
6 Concerning the link between EU law and the ECHR, the Treaty of the European Union (TEU) claims in Article 6(2): “The Union shall accede to the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms. Such accession shall not affect the Union’s competences as defined in the Treaties”.

ing and industrial action. Nonetheless, even if there has been some case law from the ECtHR involving the Nordic countries, or otherwise is of relevance for this area of law, this article will not deal with the ECHR.

Further, together with other European countries the Nordic countries have ratified many conventions from the International Labour Organization (ILO); including, for instance, the ILO Conventions No. 87 on freedom of association and No. 98 on collective bargaining.\(^8\)

II. Basic features in the development of Nordic labour law

1. **The liberal era – the beginning of a new order**

The breakthrough of liberal ideas in the second half of the nineteenth century meant the abolition of the former pre-capitalistic restrictions concerning the right to exercise trade and more. The economy and labour relationships were liberalized with the establishment of the free work contract; i.e. this was the end of the forced labour era.

The liberal approach meant the establishment of a non-interventional policy from the state. In spite of this, the state did not hesitate to intervene through the criminal law in order to hinder workers and the growing trade union movement from participating in strikes and collective actions on the labour market. Furthermore, there was a close connection between the trade unions and radical political movements arguing for socialism and a new order in society.

---

\(^7\) See also the 1996 revised European Social Charter and Article 5 concerning the right to freedom of association, and Article 6 on the right to collective bargaining.


\(^9\) Concerning laws on commerce and trade, this was the case in Norway in 1839, in Denmark in 1862, in Sweden in 1864 and in Finland in 1868. For a brief comment on the following development in Nordic labour law, see for instance Ole Hasselbalch, The Roots – the History of Nordic Labour Law, in Wahlgren (fn. 2) 11–35.

\(^10\) For instance, in Denmark, a formal ban on strikes was introduced as soon as 1800, even if a new democratic Constitution in 1849 opened the door for the forming of private organizations, facilitating also the workers’ strive to organize in respect of their employment; Hasselbalch (fn. 9) 16. Another example is Sweden, where changes to the criminal law were made in 1893, 1897 and, in particular, 1899 in order to counteract strikes.
2. **Self-regulation, basic agreements and arrangements**

In circumstances involving the liberalization of markets, a state that was reluctant to intervene in order to secure and stabilize the labour market situation, the workers’ general vulnerability and the establishment of trade unions with often radical political ideas, the labour market parties themselves began to regulate their relations, establishing a new balance on the labour markets.

In Denmark a basic agreement between the labour market parties – although not complete – was concluded in 1899, and called the “September Compromise”. Later, after a labour conflict in 1908, the “August Committee” recommended the introduction of the Permanent Arbitration Court in 1910 for the resolution of disputes and the development of case law concerning labour contracts (now the Labour Court).

Further, the “August Committee” recommended some standard rules relating to the handling of labour disputes (i.e. the “Normen” providing rules on conciliation, negotiations and arbitration), and from these fundamentals the Danish collective agreement system has continued to be developed by the parties.\(^{11}\)

Also, in Norway, a basic agreement was concluded between the labour market parties in 1902, providing that disagreements between them should be settled by mediation and possibly arbitration. Even though the agreement was soon terminated, a basic structure for dealing with conflicts in the future had been established and integrated into the collective agreements.

In 1935 a new Basic Agreement on the national level was settled on these fundamentals. The still working Basic Agreement in Norway also regulates, for instance, industrial action in the form of sympathy actions, shop stewards and collective dismissals.

In Sweden the state’s reaction towards the growing trade union movement was comparatively tough. At the turn of the century strikes and other similar industrial actions were often considered to be a breach of the employment contract. However, in 1906, the “December Compromise” was agreed upon between the employers’ and the blue-collar workers’ organizations.

The “December Compromise” meant that the right to associate was accepted by the employers, and in return the trade unions recognized the employer’s right to lead and distribute work as well as to hire and fire workers.

Following this arrangement, collective agreements were concluded between the employers and the blue-collar workers’ trade unions on the labour market. It was not until the end of the 1920s that laws were enacted, with the exception of the previously 1906 Act on Mediation in Labour Disputes.

---

\(^{11}\) Also the Official Conciliator’s Act – recommended by the August Committee – should be mentioned, regulating the procedure used by the public conciliation and mediation service. See Ole Hasselbalch, Labour Law in Denmark, 2\(^{nd}\) ed. 2010, 36.
In Finland, and in the labour law perspective, the liberalization process meant the abolition of formal legal restrictions on trade unions. However, unions still had to be recognized by the state, but in 1906 freedom of association was enacted, and protected by the Constitution in 1919. Further, in 1922, the protection of freedom of association was further reinforced by the Employment contract act.

The Finnish situation was peculiar since Finland became independent from Russia in 1917; this was followed by a civil war between the “Whites” and the “Reds”, which for a long period strained relations between workers and employers. Even though the building of trade unions had begun in the late nineteenth century, leading to the establishment of a trade union confederation, it had been oppressed by the Russian authorities.

The trade union movement in Finland was for a long time influenced by communist ideology, and in 1930 many unions were dissolved on the suspicion of being involved in “subversive activities”. It was not until after World War II that the Finnish trade unions became generally accepted by the employers.

3. Legislation confirming self-regulation

In the Nordic countries state labour law was more or less developed by building on the self-regulatory private arrangements, often meaning that the already established agreements on procedures etc. were confirmed by the legislature.\(^{12}\)

Since, in general, the collective agreements did not embrace, for instance, white-collar workers, there was also a need – as was the case in Sweden – for state regulation in order to extend and establish the collective structure and trade union rights on the whole labour market.

Considering these features and the interplay between collective self-regulation and state legislation, it might be seen remarkable that in Denmark there are no specific laws regulating the right to association, collective bargaining, collective agreements, peace obligations, industrial actions or shop stewards. Therefore, the important Danish national regulations are still to a large extent to be found in collective arrangements between the labour market parties.\(^{13}\)

In Norway the legislation on labour disputes concerning the conclusion of collective agreements, mediation and more came into force in 1916, and in 1927 a revised Labour Disputes Act was adopted. The act has regulations concerning collective agreements, industrial actions, mediations and sanc-

---

\(^{12}\) For a brief account, see Reinhold Fahlbeck, Industrial Relations and Collective Labour Law: Characteristics, Principles and Basic Features, in Wahlgren (fn. 2) 103–106.

\(^{13}\) Fahlbeck (fn. 12) 105.
tions. It is still the core legislation for collective labour law, even if further amendments influencing collective labour law also have been made.

In Sweden the legislation relating to collective agreements was enacted by the Riksdag in 1928, at the same time as the enactment of legislation relating to the labour court. The 1936 Act on the Right to Association and Negotiation was founding a base for collective bargaining and trade union rights, reinforcing and extending these rights to embrace white-collar workers and other groups that had not been strong enough to establish collective agreements with the employers.

In Finland the laws for the protection of labour peace had been made in 1924 and repealed in 1945. In addition, the Collective Agreements Act came into force in 1924, and in 1946 the act was modernized, forming the legal basis for the concluding of collective agreements. The Labour Court was established in 1924, and one year later, the legislation on conciliation was in force.

Considering its history and connection with Russia, Finland was a little late in developing its labour law – in principle founded on agreements from collective bargaining – compared with the other Nordic countries. In 1940 an overall agreement was concluded on the basic principles that apply between the parties. After that more regular agreements between the labour market parties were concluded in 1944 and thereafter, but the Basic Agreement from 1946 still forms the basis for how the system works, even if many subsequent laws have completed the picture.

4. Collective labour law today

The regulations on collective bargaining are amounting to a procedural structure for the collective bargaining between the labour market parties. Accordingly, to a very large extent, working conditions are settled through self-regulation by the parties themselves, even if substantive individual labour law often means the setting up of a floor with minimum requirements for the collective bargaining outcome.

Traditionally collective bargaining has been very centralized, even if the bargaining activities in practice have taken place at three levels: the national level, the industry wide/branch level and at the local company/workplace level. In principle, an agreement on a higher level binds the lower level and, in doing so, creates restrictions for the parties bargaining on, for instance, the workplace level.


In general, the trade unions have striven to maintain the centralized structure of collective bargaining, but for many years there has been – as in other European countries – a tendency towards decentralized bargaining at the local level.\textsuperscript{16}

The system has become more open even for individual bargaining within the framework of the collective agreements, for instance concerning wages. That is the case particularly in the private sector, while a comparatively more centralized bargaining structure still is upheld on the public sector, even if there has also been increased room for more individualized contracts.

Concerning the country level, Denmark is, as mentioned above, an example where the foundation for fundamental rights concerning rights of association, collective bargaining and collective agreements are established through the Basic Agreement, originally from 1899. The agreement also embraces regulations on peace obligation, industrial actions and shop stewards.

However, there is (beyond EU law with the Charter and the ECHR) in Denmark, from 1982, certain legislation on protection against dismissals in violation of the freedom of association, providing legally based protection. In Norway important regulations are found in the Basic Agreement of 1935, but here we find regulations in law on important matters. In the previously mentioned 1927 Labour Disputes Act there are also rules on parliamentary intervention if there are failures in collective bargaining.\textsuperscript{17} Further, important regulations have also been introduced through amendments of the Work Environment Act from the 1970s.

In Sweden the Saltsjöbaden Basic Agreement concluded in 1938 laid down the regulations for collective bargaining and industrial actions, including bargaining for the prevention of industrial conflicts. The agreement from 1938 has of course been amended, but it is still in force, even though the parties for some years have lobbied for the creation a modernized Basic Agreement.

Fundamental regulations in law are now found in the 1976 Codetermination Act. The act was to a very large extent built on previous legislation from 1936 on freedom of association and collective bargaining and the 1906 law on mediation in industrial disputes (including amendments made in 1920).

The Codetermination Act was completed, with new regulations on mediation, in 2000, which has meant completing collective agreements on bargaining orders to apply to different sectors of the labour market. Labour disputes have since 1974 been regulated by the legislation on the court procedure in labour disputes.

Finally, in Finland the basic structure for the freedom of association and collective bargaining etc. in practice was established after World War II.


\textsuperscript{17} Fahlbeck (fn. 12) 105 et seq.
The general law on association is applicable to organizations on the labour market. In 1946 the general regulation on collective agreements was taken and in 1962 the former legislation on mediation was replaced with the new Act on Mediation in Labour Disputes, and new regulations on mediation came into force.

5. Labour market organizations and trends

For the proper functioning of the labour market, trade unions and organizations are crucial. Following from freedom of association, membership is voluntary, but it is of great importance for the system’s legitimacy that workers and employers are organized.

However, in the recent years there has been a declining trend in the trade union organization rate on the labour markets all over Europe. This development in general has also been observed by the European Commission and discussed as a problem for the development of European industrial relations.\(^{18}\)

This trend is in particular considered to be a problem for the employee in general, but in practice also employers benefit from having trade unions to deal with as well, as this set-up facilitates a well-functioning labour market if the collective bargaining is well coordinated (keeping down transactional costs).

This general trend with a decline in trade union membership is clear also in the Nordic countries. For instance, while in Sweden 85% of the workers were organized in 1993, only 71% were organized in 2007.\(^{19}\) However, the organizational rate in the Nordic countries is comparatively high. In the EU Member States the corresponding figure in average in 2008 was around 23% among employed workers.\(^{20}\)

An explanation to this trend in the Nordic countries is high unemployment rates (even though the unemployment figures are low compared with southern Europe), deregulation of labour markets and cut-downs in the social security system.\(^{21}\)

As stated above, the trade union movement in the Nordic countries is considered to be comparatively centralized. In each country there are three or four dominating organizations on the central level, covering different branches, and mostly each branch has separate nation-wide organizations both for


\(^{20}\) European Commission (fn. 18) 20 and (fn. 16) 24.

the workers and the employers respectively. Usually there are separate trade unions for blue-collar workers and white-collar workers.

In Denmark, Norway and Sweden blue-collar workers – and to some extent sometimes also white-collar workers – are organized in each country’s central LO (labour organization). These organizations were founded in the late nineteenth century, but due to historical reasons, previously mentioned, the corresponding organizations were established later in Finland.

The organizations – which have been reorganized as they developed – are predominantly industrial (for instance the metal industry and the private service sector, but sometimes even public sector employees are included to a large extent). Beyond that there are also different craft and professional unions.

The most important trade unions on the national level today are: the Danish Federation of Trade Unions (LOD), the Norwegian Confederation of Trade Unions (LON), the Swedish Trade Union Confederation (LOS) and the Central Organization of Finnish Trade Unions (SAK).

There are also trade unions on the national level for white-collar workers or salaried employees (divided, generally, into non-academics and more specialized academic professionals). I will mention some of the most important organizations on the national level; there is also a number of more specialized trade unions, such as those organizing teachers, managers and executives and more.

In Denmark there is the Danish Confederation of Professionals (FTF) and the Danish Confederation of Professional Associations (Akademikernes Centralorganisation, AC). In Norway there is the Confederation of Unions for Professionals (Unio) and the Federation of Norwegian Professional Associations (Akademikerne).

In Sweden there is the Swedish Confederation for Professional Employees (TCO) and the Swedish Confederation of Professional Associations (SACO), and in Finland there is the corresponding Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees (STTK) and the Confederation of Unions for Academic Professionals in Finland (AKAVA).

Even if these central organizations are very important, the substantive collective bargaining activities are often carried out on industrial or branch level by trade union organizations that are members in a central organization. In addition, they might at the same time organize in respect of bargaining agreements or clusters, coordinating trade union activities for the sector concerned.

Further, the employers are well organized both on branch level and on the national level. For instance on the central level, there is the Confederation of

---

22 A valuable source for these facts is the Eurofound’s database, European Industrial Relations Observatory Online (EIROnline) presenting country profiles, see <http://eurofound.europa.eu/observatories/eurwork/comparative-information/industrial-relations-country-profiles>. See also Fahlbeck (fn. 12) 106 et seq.
Danish Employers, the Confederation of Norwegian Enterprise, the Confederation of Swedish Enterprise and the Confederation of Finnish Industries. Even here collective bargaining is coordinated through industry or branch organizations that are members of the employers’ associations on the central level.

III. The trade union representative

An important aspect of the collective dimension in industrial relations is the position of the trade union representative. Again, here we find differences among the Nordic countries with a mixture of regulations in law and collective agreements.

In Denmark and Norway the workers’ representative system is characterized as a shop steward system. The position of trade union representatives is subject to contractual regulation between the parties, and there are regulations in the basic agreements with, for instance, formal criteria on who can be chosen as a trade union representative.

Concerning the trade union representatives in Sweden, the Swedish Act on the Trade Union Representative in the Workplace, which came into force in 1974, was the first of its kind among the Nordic countries. In Sweden the right to appoint trade union representatives enjoying protection from the 1974 Act is almost exclusively reserved for trade unions bound to a collective agreement with the employer.23

The election of the workers’ representatives in the workplace is exclusively a matter for trade union members to decide. Collective agreements are common, specifying for instance how many hours the trade union representative can spend on union work in the workplace.

In Finland representatives for the employees are appointed by the trade union members referring to a collective agreement. Workers that do not have a representative in accordance with a collective agreement have the right to appoint a representative in accordance with the Employment Contract Act (Chapter 13 § 3).

Further, a majority of these workers can leave it to the representative to represent them in employment matters. Such representatives also have, referring to law, the right to spend working time on this respect and he or she enjoys a certain employment protection that is the same as that for ordinary trade union representatives (Employment Contract Act, Chapter 7 § 10).

If the trade union representative’s position is dependent on EU law, all workers may take part in the election.

---

23 An exception is the workers’ representation in work and safety matters, which basically is regulated in the 1977 Work Environment Act.
IV. Industrial action

The right to industrial action is of crucial importance for the Nordic industrial relations system. Before a collective agreement is concluded in the Nordic countries both parties have the right to industrial action. Hence, there are regulations in law (however, not in Denmark) and collective agreements on how to deal with industrial actions as well as the procedure for resolving labour disputes. Further there are – as mentioned above – regulations providing for mediation in the main areas of conflicts of interests and labour courts to deal with labour disputes, that I will not comment on in particular.\(^\text{24}\)

As previously mentioned, the right to industrial action – including the right to strike – also enjoys protection as a fundamental right in the EU Charter on Fundamental Rights (Article 28), as well as being confirmed in the case law of the European Court of Justice (ECJ)\(^\text{25}\) and the European Court of Human Rights (concerning Article 11 of the ECHR).\(^\text{26}\)

A common feature in the Nordic countries is that industrial actions should be decided by trade unions, i.e. the right to industrial action is not an individual but a collective right. The normal situation is also that there are peace obligations clauses in the collective agreements, binding not only the organizations but also their individual members.

In Denmark there are regulations in, for instance, the agreement “Normen” between the Danish LO and the Danish employers on the rules applicable to industrial actions, mediation and more.\(^\text{27}\) Compared with the other Nordic countries the Danish regulation is remarkable, since there is no legislation on industrial actions, peace obligations and more (beyond what follows from the transposition of the EU Posting of Workers Directive 96/71\(^\text{28}\)).\(^\text{29}\)

In Norway there are also regulations in collective agreements, for instance, in the Basic Agreement between the Norwegian LO and the Confederation of

\(^{24}\) For an overview, see Torgeir Aarvag Stokke, Mediation in Collective Interest Disputes, in Wahlgren (fn. 2) 134–158.

\(^{25}\) European Court of Justice (ECJ) 18.12.2007 – C-341/05 – Laval un Partneri Ltd. J. Svenska Byggnadsarbetareförbundet and others.


\(^{27}\) Available at: <http://www.lo.dk/arbejdsret/Aftaler/NormenReglerforbehandlingaffigligstrid.aspx>.


\(^{29}\) Fahlbeck (fn. 12) 105 (however not commenting on the Posting of Workers Directive).
Norwegian Enterprise (NHO). However, there are basic regulations in the legislation on labour disputes concerning industrial actions (for instance § 3) as well as on mediation, sanctions and more, even though the most important regulations are in collective agreements.

In Sweden the regulations on industrial actions in law are found in the Codetermination Act (§§ 41–45), where there are also – as stated above – regulations on mediation (§§ 46–53). The collective agreements provide further regulations, for instance on bargaining procedures that must proceed industrial actions.

The right to industrial action is protected by the Constitution, but at the same time the Constitution makes it possible for the labour market parties to agree on peace clauses in collective agreements, which is the normal situation (see also the Codetermination Act § 41 that, with some minor exceptions, prohibits industrial actions between parties bound by collective agreements).

In principle, the basic regulations in law and collective agreements in Finland are like those in Sweden. The Collective Agreements Act from 1946 means there is a peace obligation between parties bound to a collective agreement, while parties not bound to a collective agreement have the right to industrial action in disputes relating to their interests. Further, the 1962 Act on mediation in labour disputes opens up the possibility of mediation when there is a labour conflict on the labour market.

V. Codetermination – information and consultation

Information and consultation – or codetermination – in the Nordic countries is regulated both in law and in collective agreements. The main differences in this respect are between Sweden and Finland and, on the other side, Denmark and Norway. Again differences have to do with the extent to which information and consultation are regulated in both law and collective agreements respectively.

In all Nordic countries there have also been certain transposition measures through legislation in order to transpose EU directives containing rules on information and consultation (see, below, the section on “Challenges and the impact of EU law”). Hence, for that purpose in Denmark a certain law on the consultation of employees was taken while in Norway, Sweden and Finland

30 Available at: <https://www.nho.no/siteassets/nhos-filer-og-bilder/filer-og-dokumenter/engelsk/basic-agreement-2010-2013.pdf>.

31 For a more extensive overview on regulations concerning information and consultation, see Örjan Edström, Involvement of Employees in Private Enterprises in Four Nordic Countries, in Wahlgren (fn. 2) 159–188.
amendments were made in the acts concerning the work environment, co-
determination and co-operation in undertakings respectively.

In the main and in particular in Denmark, regulations on codetermination
have been settled in collective co-operation agreements (if the information
e tc. is not relying on EU directives concerning collective redundancies or
the transfer of undertakings), while in Sweden and Finland co-determination
basically is regulated by law, but to a large extent collective agreements on
the matter replace or specify how to apply the legal regulations. To some
extent, information and consultation is also to be carried out in co-operation
councils, relying on collective agreements.

In Denmark the main regulation on codetermination is the 1986 Co-opera-
tion Agreement between the Danish Employers’ Federation and the Danish
Federation of Trade Unions. The agreement provides different forms for the
involvement of workers in the employer’s activity. In activities with more
than 35 employees certain ‘co-operation councils’ shall be established and a
number of issues that should be subject to information and consultation are
specified. Beyond the local level there is also a council on the national level
for the development of the forms for information, etc.

In Norway the basic regulation concerning the employees’ right to infor-
mation and consultation in general is found in the Constitution (§ 110). In
practice the most important regulations are found in collective agreements. In
accordance with the Work Environment Act (Chapter 8), there is a right to
information and consultation in undertakings with more than 50 employees,
following the EU Framework Directive on Information and Consultation.32

The Co-operation Agreement between the employers’ and workers’ organi-
zations on the Norwegian labour market regulates different forms of infor-
mation and consultation on certain matters, for instance, measures for in-
creased efficiency, decreased costs and improved competiveness. Works
councils with representatives for both the workers and the employer should
be established in enterprises with at least 100 employees for information and
consultation.

The Codetermination Act is the basic legislation for codetermination in
Sweden. The act regulates both the workers’ right to information as well as
negotiations in matters that the employer until 1976 had the right to decide
without hearing the employees (§ 11–22; even if there were already collective
agreements giving similar rights to the workers’ party). Compared with the
other Nordic countries, there are no restrictions regarding the number of em-
ployees in the activity for the law to apply.

2002 establishing a general framework for informing and consulting employees in the
There is both a general and a more specified right to information. In general the right to information and consultation prior to significant changes in the employer’s activity belongs to trade unions bound to a collective agreement with the employer. However, EU law has meant an extension of these rights also to other trade unions in certain matters concerning collective redundancies and the transfer of undertakings, but only if the employer is not bound to a collective agreement with any trade union at all.

The regulations on the right to information and consultation are optional and the greater part of the labour market is covered by collective agreements replacing the law, and making further adaptations to different branches or sectors of working life.

In Finland the basic and comparatively substantive legislation is the Act on Cooperation within Undertakings that came into force in 1979. The act is in principle similar to the Swedish Codetermination Act, but one difference is that in Finland the law applies only to employers having at least 20 employees.

The employer should, according to the Finnish legislation, inform the workers’ representatives, who should be elected after reference has been made to a collective agreement, regarding the company’s general plans, objectives, etc. Who will be informed in certain matters is not – as for instance in Sweden – strictly said to be trade unions; i.e. in Finland it could also be a certain person appointed to represent the workers in the work place (§ 8).

The legislation on cooperation within undertakings regulates the procedure for cooperation negotiations. The issues that should be subject to such negotiations are listed, in a rather detailed fashion, in the act (Chapter 4). Beyond that, there are also regulations in collective agreements that could replace the act’s provisions on information and cooperation negotiations (§ 62).

VI. The individual contract and labour law

Basically, the individual employment contract is formed with the principle of freedom of contract as its basic foundation. If the employer is bound to a collective agreement the individual contract should be concluded within the framework of the collective agreement. For such employers the collective agreement in general also has a normative function in respect of the employment of non-organized workers.

With the exception of Denmark, there are substantive laws on, for instance, employment protection, working conditions and more for individual employees. At the same time these laws to a large extent could be optional and subject to other regulations in collective agreements.

By way of example, I will give short comments on laws concerning employment protection and discrimination.