EMMANUEL NATHAN

Re-membering the New Covenant at Corinth

Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament 2. Reihe

Mohr Siebeck

Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament · 2. Reihe

Herausgeber / Editor Jörg Frey (Zürich)

Mitherausgeber/Associate Editors

Markus Bockmuehl (Oxford) · James A. Kelhoffer (Uppsala)

Tobias Nicklas (Regensburg) · Janet Spittler (Charlottesville, VA)

J. Ross Wagner (Durham, NC)

514



Emmanuel Nathan

Re-membering the New Covenant at Corinth

A Different Perspective on 2 Corinthians 3

Emmanuel Nathan, born 1975; 2010: PhD, STD, Faculty of Theology and Religious Studies, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven; 2011–2014: Post-Doctoral Research Fellow, Faculty of Theology and Religious Studies, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven; 2014–2017: Lecturer in Biblical Studies, Faculty of Theology and Philosophy, Australian Catholic University in Sydney; since 2018: Senior Lecturer in Biblical Studies and Comparative Theology, Faculty of Theology and Philosophy, Australian Catholic University in Sydney, Australia.

ISBN 978-3-16-157687-4/eISBN 978-3-16-157688-1 DOI 10.1628/978-3-16-157688-1

ISSN 0340-9570/eISSN 2568-7484 (Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament, 2. Reihe)

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliographie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at http://dnb.dnb.de.

© 2020 Mohr Siebeck Tübingen, Germany. www.mohrsiebeck.com

This book may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form (beyond that permitted by copyright law) without the publisher's written permission. This applies particularly to reproductions, translations and storage and processing in electronic systems.

The book was printed on non-aging paper by Laupp & Göbel in Gomaringen and bound by Buchbinderei Nädele in Nehren.

Printed in Germany.

Preface

This work is a revised version of my doctoral dissertation that was defended at the Faculty of Theology and Religious Studies of the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven (KU Leuven) towards the end of December 2010. My doctoral supervisors were Prof. Dr. Reimund Bieringer and Prof. Dr. Didier Pollefeyt. My readers were Prof. Dr. Joseph Verheyden, Prof. Dr. William S. Campbell, and Prof. Dr. Sandra Hübenthal. I am grateful to all of them for their guidance and support at various times. I also acknowledge the Research Council of KU Leuven and the Research Foundation – Flanders who jointly funded the research project, "New Perspectives on Paul and the Jewish People," within which this dissertation took shape. Prof. Dr. Friedrich Avemarie, of righteous memory, and Prof. Dr. Jörg Frey very kindly accepted this manuscript into the WUNT II series without any hesitation. I want to especially underline the encouragement I received from Prof. Avemarie on more than one occasion. He would have been happy to receive the manuscript without any substantial changes, but I still felt I needed time away from the dissertation in order to return to it and rework some parts. That period has taken somewhat longer than I imagined due to various projects, personal and professional, including an intercontinental move. My own research interests have broadened considerably in this time, but they have gifted me the necessary distance to redact the manuscript into the concise shape it now has. I am therefore grateful to the publishers for their patience and understanding, and to the persistent encouragement of close friends. I have been greatly aided by the technical assistance of Dr. Andrey Romanov and Dr. Blake Wassell, and to the expert and always helpful advice from the editorial staff at Mohr Siebeck. To my wife, Agnė, and three children, Jogailé, Ignas, and Mikas, I owe everything. And, inadequate as all studies must be, I nonetheless dedicate mine to the memory of my late father, Joseph Michael Nathan, zikhrono livrakha.

Sydney, 19 February 2020

Emmanuel Nathan

Table of Contents

| Preface | V |
|--|------|
| List of Abbreviations | XIII |
| | |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Chapter 1: The Context of This Study | 5 |
| 1. Paul's Jewishness and the Parting of the Ways or Was Paul the Founde of Christianity? | |
| 1.1 A New Perspective on Paul | |
| 1.2 The Parting of the Ways (and Some of Its Problems) | 8 |
| 2. The New Perspective on Paul: Differences and Divergences | 12 |
| 3. The Present Study in Prospect | 18 |
| | |
| Chapter 2: The 'New Perspective' on 2 Cor 3 | 21 |
| 1. Did Paul Have a Covenant Theology? | 21 |
| 2. Two Covenants or One? | 24 |
| 3. Paul's Use of the Covenant Motif in 2 Cor 3 | 26 |
| 4. The Concept of Covenant in Paul | 33 |
| 5. Overview | 37 |

| Chapter 3: A Contextual Study of the Covenantal Contrasts | |
|---|----|
| in 2 Cor 3 | 39 |
| 1. The Issues at Hand | 40 |
| 2. Paul, Moses and the History of Israel | 41 |
| 2.1 Delimitation and the Main Argument2.2 The Origins of Hafemann's Argument: Windisch vs. | 41 |
| Goettsberger (1924) | |
| 2.2.1 On Ministry | |
| 2.2.2 The New Covenant | |
| 2.2.3 The Law | |
| 2.3 Hafemann's Conclusion | |
| 3. Hafemann's Exegesis as Seen from the 'Lutheran' Perspective | 50 |
| 3.1 Hafemann, the New Perspective on Paul, and the Lutheran Critique | 51 |
| 3.2 Continuity and the Implicit Fear of Marcionism | |
| 3.3 The Lutheran Rebuttal | |
| 4. Overview | 59 |
| Chapter 4: Exegetical Considerations on Paul, Moses, | |
| and the Veil in 2 Cor 3 | 62 |
| 1. Examining the Exegetical Options of καταργέω and τέλος | |
| in 2 Cor 3:7, 11, 13, and 14 | 63 |
| 1.1 2 Cor 3:7 | 64 |
| 1.2 2 Cor 3:11 | |
| 1.3 2 Cor 3:13 | 69 |
| 1.4 2 Cor 3:14 | 71 |
| 2. Brief Considerations on κάλυμμα | 72 |
| 3. Overview | 74 |
| Chanter 5: Socialogical Approaches to Identity | |
| Chapter 5: Sociological Approaches to Identity Transformation | 76 |

| 1. Three Sociological Approaches in Pauline Studies | 76 |
|---|-----|
| 1.1 From Individual to Community (Troels Engberg-Pedersen) | 76 |
| 1.2 Language and World-Construction (Edward Adams) | |
| 1.3 From Reform Movement to Sect (Francis Watson) | 80 |
| 2. Identity Trans-/Formation in Paul | 82 |
| 2.1 Corporate Christology and Community Construction | |
| (David Horrell) | 82 |
| 2.2 The Creation, Transformation, and Retention of Multiple Identities in | 0.4 |
| Christ (William Campbell) | |
| | |
| 3. Overview | 89 |
| Chapter 6: Four Trands on Dayl's Argument from Societure | |
| Chapter 6: Four Trends on Paul's Argument from Scripture | 0.0 |
| in 2 Cor 3 with Implications for Identity Transformation | 92 |
| 1. Biblical Reasoning | 93 |
| 2. Charismatic Exegesis | 95 |
| 3. Eschatological Exegesis | 98 |
| | |
| 4. Apocalyptic Discourse | 103 |
| 5. Overview | 108 |
| | |
| Chapter 7: From Identity to Memory with a Preliminary | |
| Application to 2 Cor 3 | 110 |
| 1. The Link between Identity and Memory | 110 |
| 1.1 Creative Continuity with a Historic Past (Judith Lieu) | 110 |
| 1.2 Shaping a Narrative Past (Samuel Byrskog) | |
| 1.3 Identity and Mnemonic Contestation (Philip Esler) | |
| 2. Contesting the Mnemonic Tradition of Moses' Glory in 2 Cor 3 | 117 |
| 2.1 The Lacunae of Intertextual Studies of 2 Cor 3 | 118 |
| 2.2 The Social Context behind 2 Cor 3 | |

| a Counter-Memory | 121 |
|--|-----|
| 2.4 The Contestation and Colonization of Mnemonic Traditions | 121 |
| in 2 Cor 3 | 123 |
| 3. Overview | 124 |
| Charten O. Carial Manager and the Manager Defending | |
| Chapter 8: Social Memory and the Mnemonic Refraction Model | 126 |
| | |
| 1. Social Memory in Context | 126 |
| 2. Social Memory in New Testament Studies | 128 |
| 2.1 Social Entrepreneurship (Minna Shkul) | 129 |
| 2.2 Structuring Early Christian Memory (Rafael Rodríguez) | |
| 2.3 The Mnemonic Refraction of Typological Categories (Anthony Le Donne) | 133 |
| 3. Overview | |
| | |
| Chapter 9: Memory Refraction in 2 Cor 3 | 137 |
| 1. Two Aporias on Paul's Inclusion of 2 Cor 3:7–18 | 137 |
| 1.1 Scriptural Allusions | 138 |
| 1.2 Reconstructing a Pre-existing Tradition | 141 |
| 2. The Mnemonic Cycles of καινὴ διαθήκη in the Corinthian | |
| Correspondence | 147 |
| 3. The Typological Cycle of Moses in 2 Cor 3:7–18 | 150 |
| 4. Overview | 153 |
| | |
| Chapter 10: Identity Transformation in 2 Cor 3 | 156 |
| 1. Paul as a Second Moses | 157 |
| 2. Moses as Person and Text | 158 |

| 3. Moses as (the Pre-Transformed) Paul | 159 |
|---|-----|
| 3.1 "When Anyone Turns to the Lord" (2 Cor 3:16): Conversion and Transformation | 160 |
| 3.2 "Whenever Moses is Read" (2 Cor 3:15): No Transformation without Conversion | |
| 4. Overview | 164 |
| Chapter 11: From Heuristics back to Hermeneutics | 165 |
| 1. Ideology and Utopia in 2 Cor 3 | 166 |
| 1.1 The 'Covenant or Creation?' Line of Inquiry in Pauline Studies | 166 |
| 1.2 Covenant and Creation in 2 Cor 3 | 167 |
| 1.3 Ideology and Memory in 2 Cor 3 | |
| 1.4 Paul Ricoeur's <i>Ideology and Utopia</i> | |
| 1.5 Reckoning with the Reception History of 2 Cor 3 | |
| 2. The Present Study in Retrospect | 177 |
| General Conclusion | 182 |
| Bibliography | 187 |
| Index of References | |
| Index of Subjects and Names | 206 |

List of Abbreviations

AB Anchor Bible

AGJU Arbeiten zur Geschichte des antiken Judentums und des Urchristentums

AGSU Arbeiten zur Geschichte des Spätjudentums und Urchristentums

AJEC Ancient Judaism and Early Christianity

AnBib Analecta biblica

BBB Bonner Biblische Beiträge
BBR Bulletin for Biblical Research

BETL Bibliotheca ephemeridum theologicarum lovaniensium

BHT Beiträge zur historischen Theologie

Biblint Biblical Interpretation

BJRL Bulletin of the John Rylands University Library of Manchester

BJS Brown Judaic Studies

BNTC Black's New Testament Commentaries

BSac Bibliotheca Sacra

BTB Biblical Theology Bulletin
BZ Biblische Zeitschrift

BZNW Beihefte zur Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft

CBQ Catholic Biblical Quarterly

CBQMS Catholic Biblical Quarterly: Monograph Series

CBR Currents in Biblical Research

CRINT Compendia rerum iudaicarum ad Novum Testamentum

ETL Ephemerides theologicae lovanienses

EvT Evangelische Theologie FB Forschung zur Bibel

FRLANT Forschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen Testa-

ments

HBT Horizons in Biblical Theology
HTR Harvard Theological Review
ICC International Critical Commentary

Int Interpretation

JBL Journal of Biblical Literature

JSJSup Supplements to the Journal for the Study of Judaism

JSNT Journal for the Study of the New Testament

JSNTSup Journal for the Study of the New Testament: Supplement Series JSOTSup Journal for the Study of the Old Testament: Supplement Series

JSP Journal for the Study of the Pseudepigrapha

JSPSup Journal for the Study of the Pseudepigrapha: Supplement Series

JTS Journal of Theological Studies
LNTS Library of New Testament Studies

LSTS The Library of Second Temple Studies
NedTT Nederlands theologisch tijdschrift

NIGTC New International Greek Testament Commentary

NovT Novum Testamentum

NovTSup Supplements to Novum Testamentum

NTS New Testament Studies
PSB Princeton Seminary Bulletin
RTR Reformed Theological Review
SBL Society of Biblical Literature

SBLSymS Society of Biblical Literature: Symposium Series SNTSMS Society for New Testament Studies: Monograph Series

STDJ Studies on the Texts of the Desert of Judah

SubBi Subsidia biblica

TSAJ Texts and Studies in Ancient Judaism
TZT Tübinger Zeitschrift für Theologie
WBC Word Biblical Commentary

WMANT Wissenschaftliche Monographien zum Alten und Neuen Testament

WUNT Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament

ZKT Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie

ZNW Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft

ZTK Zeitschrift für Theologie und Kirche

Introduction

The present monograph arose out of a research project devoted to examining the relation between studies on 2 Cor 3:6–18 and the New Perspective on Paul, and contemporary Jewish-Christian dialogue. While this last aspect of the research project is not the primary focus of this work, it does hover in the background. The monograph contains eleven studies that reflect, in ever narrowing circles of focus, on the question of continuity and discontinuity that intersects the New Perspective on Paul and, more concretely, Pauline studies of the new covenant (καινὴ διαθήκη) in 2 Cor 3. Given the interdisciplinary nature of the research project within which this work was situated, our study does not follow the trajectory of a classical monograph in biblical studies. Instead it chooses to wrestle openly with issues of hermeneutics and heuristics, as will become clearer in this work.

We commence with three chapters that are hermeneutical in nature. Chapter One traces the broad context of this study and situates contributors to the New Perspective on Paul (predominantly, E.P. Sanders and James Dunn) alongside their detractors from two camps, the 'Lutheran' (e.g., Stephen Westerholm) and the 'radical new' perspectives (e.g., Mark Nanos and Magnus Zetterholm). Chapter Two examines two proponents of the New Perspective on Paul (James Dunn and Ellen Christiansen) in order to trace if they have a common position with regard to the covenantal contrasts in 2 Cor 3, given that studies of Galatians and Romans, where issues of ethnicity and justification abound, have been the main focus of New Perspective debates. Chapter Three then follows, by way of counter-balance, a non-New Perspective study (by Scott Hafemann) offering a contextual exegesis of the letter-Spirit contrast in 2 Cor 3. This, in turn, offers the occasion to compare his study against the New Perspective positions on 2 Cor 3 traced in Chapter Two.

In order to address the question of continuity and discontinuity more precisely, we make our own turn to the text of 2 Cor 3:6–18 from Chapter Four onwards. Chapter Four identifies four verses (3:7, 11, 13, 14) where two highly 'discontinuous' terms, $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\rho\gamma\epsilon\omega$ ('abrogated') and $\tau\epsilon\lambda\sigma\varsigma$ ('cessation' or 'goal') are interrogated as to their exegetical options. In subsequently alternating between discussions on method and applications to the text (2 Cor 3:6, 7–18), we allow for a gradual refinement of the question of continuity and dis-

2 Introduction

continuity. We do so first in terms of introducing the question of 'identity transformation', since this involves asking whether (a) one moves from one identity to another (in Paul's case, from 'Jewish' to 'Christian') or (b) retains one's former identity and in the process transforms it as a result of a new experience (in Paul's case, his encounter with Christ). Chapter Five explores sociological approaches (Troels Engberg-Pedersen, Edward Adams, Francis Watson) to identity transformation (David Horrell, William Campbell, Brian Tucker) in search of a suitable method to gauge identity transformation in 2 Cor 3. Chapter Six is then a study that discerns four trends on Paul's argument from Scripture in 2 Cor 3 and ends up interrogating their implications for identity transformation.

In Chapter Seven we introduce the question of memory, building on the insight that the 'construction of identity' involves the re-membering of traditions in order to provide alternative foundational narratives. We therefore examine scholars who have drawn the link between both identity and memory (Judith Lieu, Samuel Byrskog, Philip Esler). Given the linking nature of the chapter, Chapter Seven also contains a brief application in social memory from the perspective of identity formation, more specifically, the function of counter-memories in the emergence of new identities. As a first exercise in memory theory, this application produces results which challenge a too-easy emphasis on Paul's continuity with Judaism that is asserted by proponents of the radical new perspective (e.g., Mark Nanos and Paula Fredriksen) and those arguing for the 'Ways that Never Parted' (Adam Becker, Annette Yoshiko Reed *et al.*).

Chapter Eight then explores social memory theory in its own right, moving from more general theoretical approaches (e.g., Jan and Aleida Assmann, Alan Kirk and Tom Thatcher) to applications of the theory in New Testament studies (Minna Shkul, Rafael Rodríguez, Anthony Le Donne). It is ultimately Anthony Le Donne's articulation of the 'mnemonic refraction model' that we find most useful to heuristically interrogate the question of Pauline continuity and discontinuity in 2 Cor 3. Chapter Nine presents our own application of the mnemonic refraction model to 2 Cor 3:6–18. In so doing, Chapter Nine demonstrates how social memory theory reframes the larger, and much harder to answer, question of Paul's continuity or discontinuity with Judaism and, far more modestly by comparison, seeks instead to examine the ways in which Paul refracts, redeploys, and reconfigures existing traditions in service of local needs, among them the formation and transformation of character among his community at Corinth.

Building on insights from the foregoing, we offer in Chapter Ten our own perspective on Paul's ambivalent usage of Moses in 2 Cor 3:6–18. We argue that Paul's narrative conflation of his own $\delta\iota\alpha\kappa\circ\iota\alpha$ within a typological narrative of Moses' glory represents none other than an evaluation of his own life prior to conversion in much the same way that he did in Phil 3:3–11. We then proceed to argue that this 'turning'/conversion had implications for identity

Introduction 3

transformation, both Paul's and the Corinthians', given that Paul had already articulated a mimetic programme for Corinthian emulation in 1 Cor 4:16 and 11:1. In short, we contend that 2 Cor 3:6–18 represents not a negative assessment of Judaism or the Law, but rather a reflection on Paul's own life prior to, during, and after conversion. It was only as a second step that the narrative in 2 Cor 3:6–18 came to be understood as representing a separation process from Judaism. That it was, and has been, understood that way is reflected upon in our final chapter.

In Chapter Eleven we return to issues of hermeneutics by offering a hermeneutical reflection on the tension between ideology and utopia in 2 Cor 3, as argued from the hermeneutical arc of new covenant and new creation. In this hermeneutical reading we take seriously the unfortunate reception history that the covenantal contrasts have generated in Christian-Jewish encounter. But, in addition to acknowledging the unfortunate role that 2 Cor 3 has played in the history of that encounter, we also argue that by seeking out and affirming the utopian potentials of Paul's language in that very same passage, we can hope that it leads to transformative action in the present.

Chapter 1

The Context of This Study

The present study can be considered an examination of tradition and innovation in Paul, that is to say, an investigation into the extent to which Paul relies on traditions and then employs them to new ends. The concept that we are attempting to trace in this way is Paul's use of καινὴ διαθήκη in 2 Cor 3:6, a term which he seems to contrast with παλαιὰ διαθήκη (the 'old covenant') in the same chapter (2 Cor 3:14). Instead of approaching the term καινὴ διαθήκη as a traditional word study, we shall be undertaking its investigation as a social concept and shall be doing so from the perspectives of social memory and identity. These perspectives will be fleshed out in coming chapters. In this chapter we outline the broader context of this study.

Our study arose out of an interdisciplinary research project that examined the role of Paul's theology in the process of early Christian self-definition in relation to contemporary Judaism. ¹ Fitting within this larger project, our study devotes special attention to 2 Cor 3:6–18, a text which has not normally played a pivotal role in research on Paul's relationship to the Jewish people, other than it being considered a passing reference by Paul, in the heat of an argument, that witnesses to his generally negative assessment of Judaism. The research question posed by this project is whether 2 Cor 3:6–18 is indeed a witness to the antithesis which Paul allegedly developed with regard to contemporary Judaism. The answer to this question would have significant implications for a crucial question in Jewish-Christian dialogue, namely whether Paul became the founder of Christianity in this process of separation while Jesus had stayed completely within the boundaries of Judaism. ²

¹ It was jointly funded by the Research Foundation – Flanders and the Research Council of KU Leuven (principal investigators: Reimund Bieringer and Didier Pollefeyt). It resulted in two major publications: Reimund Bieringer and Didier Pollefeyt (eds.), *Paul and Judaism: Crosscurrents in Pauline Exegesis and Jewish-Christian Relations*, LNTS 463 (London/New York: T&T Clark, 2012); and Reimund Bieringer, Emmanuel Nathan, Didier Pollefeyt, and Peter J. Tomson (eds.), *Second Corinthians in the Perspective of Late Second Temple Judaism*, CRINT 14 (Leiden: Brill, 2014).

² The innovative nature of this project was that it sought to critically evaluate the socalled 'New Perspective on Paul', which seemed to dissolve the tension between Paul and Judaism by understanding Paul as being in harmony with his Jewish background (although our study will nuance this understanding of the New Perspective on Paul). At the same time, the research project was also wary of deconstructing the New Perspective on Paul in order

The above paragraph has articulated the research project's aims *in nuce* that provided the outer frame for this study. In what follows, it will be important to distinguish between two issues that have tended to overlap in Pauline studies in the past but now are increasingly diverging from one another: the question of Paul's Jewishness (initiated in many ways by the New Perspective on Paul) and the separation between Judaism and Christianity (the so-called Parting of the Ways).

1. Paul's Jewishness and the Parting of the Ways or Was Paul the Founder of Christianity?

Paul's contribution to Christian theology can hardly be underestimated. It is in fact no exaggeration to say that for many he, and not Jesus, was the real founder of Christianity as a religion. Gerd Lüdemann, a modern exponent of such a view, put it aptly:

The new religion required a doctrinal unity and the authority to enforce it; that in turn called for vision (and perhaps a vision) and the supreme self-assuredness to insist on its truth; and those, of course, were the spark and the fuel which powered the immense missionary effort that made Paul the founder of Christianity.³

While Lüdemann credited the influence of Friedrich Nietzsche on his understanding of Paul as the founder of Christianity, most New Testament scholars would more readily admit the influence of Ferdinand Christian Baur, whose antithesis of 'Petrinism' and 'Paulinism' deeply shaped the way in which the emergence of primitive Christianity was viewed by more than a generation of hiblical scholars ⁵

to then simply reaffirm Christian identity against Judaism. For example, Seyoon Kim, *Paul and the New Perspective: Second Thoughts on the Origin of Paul's Gospel*, WUNT I/140 (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2002); Simon Gathercole, *Where Is Boasting? Early Jewish Soteriology and Paul's Response in Romans 1–5* (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2002); and Robert H. Gundry, *The Old Is Better: New Testament Essays in Support of Traditional Interpretations*, WUNT I/178 (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2005). The list could go on.

³ Gerd Lüdemann, *Paul: The Founder of Christianity* (Amherst: Prometheus Books, 2002) 215.

⁴ Lüdemann, *Paul: The Founder of Christianity*, 227: "I would like to draw the reader's attention again to Nietzsche, whose analysis has greatly helped me to understand Paul as the founder of Christianity." This is then followed by a quote from Nietzsche's *The Dawn*, sec. 68, where Paul is credited with both launching Christianity and removing it from its Jewish roots.

⁵ Ferdinand Christian Baur, "Die Christuspartei in der Korinthischen Gemeinde, der Gegensatz des petrinischen und paulinischen Christentums in der ältesten Kirche, der Apostel Petrus in Rom," *TZT* 5 (1831) 61–206, and then further developed in *Das Christenthum und die christliche Kirche der drei ersten Jahrhunderte* (Tübingen, 1853). See

Baur posited a Hegelian clash between Jewish particularism and Christian universalism, reflected in the dispute between Petrine and Pauline parties. Paulinism gave Christianity its inner, spiritual and universal dimensions, whereas Petrine Christianity's attachment to the formal, the external and the particular would be the reason why Jewish Christianity ultimately disappeared when the gospel spread among the Gentiles as a result of Paul's spearheading and forward-thinking mission. It should also not be forgotten that Baur's model served confessional ends. Petrine and Pauline Christianity reflected the differences between Catholicism and Protestantism. As such, it remained a dominant paradigm in Protestant scholarship and the subsequent revisions to the model in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries by such figures as Joseph Barber Lightfoot, Abrecht Ritschl, or the *Religionsgeschichtliche* school, did little to remove the basic dualistic scheme or the perception of the primitive church as 'early Catholicism'. But how justified is it to speak of Paul as the founder of Christianity?

1.1 A New Perspective on Paul

A new way of understanding of Paul arrived in the second half of the twentieth century. This was in no small part caused by world events in the first half of the century. In the past thirty years, the recovery of Paul's Jewishness (similar in trend to the rehabilitation of 'Jesus the Jew') has allowed Pauline scholars to place the theological statements in his letters within a matrix of his relationship to Second Temple Judaism. In what would become a seminal article, Krister Stendahl argued that Luther's introspective search for salvific grace, concentrating on the individual's relation to God, had been read back into the mind of Paul, ignoring the apostle's more social concerns of relations between Jews and Gentiles that had been made possible in Christ. Similarly, Paul's critique of a Jewish legalistic 'works-righteousness' was really a reflection of Luther's own battle against the Church's reliance on paid-for indulgences. Stendahl's article came to greater recognition in a 1976 reprint, a year before another prominent figure, Ed Sanders, published a monumental work that highlighted the deleterious effects of reconstructing Judaism from Paul's rhetorical

also Robert Morgan, "The Significance of 'Paulinism'," *Paul and Paulinism: Essays in Honour of C.K. Barrett*, ed. M.D. Hooker and S.G. Wilson (London: SPCK, 1982) 320–338.

⁶ See James D.G. Dunn, *The Partings of the Ways Between Christianity and Judaism and their Significance for the Character of Christianity* (London: SCM; Philadelphia, PA: Trinity International, 1991) 1–17 for an overview of the trends in modern biblical scholarship with regard to the origins of earliest Christianity.

⁷ Krister Stendahl, "The Apostle Paul and the Introspective Conscience of the West," *HTR* 56 (1963) 199–215.

⁸ Reprinted in, *Paul Among Jews and Gentiles and Other Essays* (Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1976) 78–96.

presentation of it in his epistles. The legalistic caricature of Judaism that emerged from an unbridgeable gulf between Law and Gospel would go on to treat Rabbinic Judaism as *Spätjudentum*, 'late Judaism', implying that once Christianity emerged, nothing further of serious note occurred in Judaism afterwards. Refuting this, Sanders set out to show that Judaism is an equally grace-filled religion by virtue of 'covenantal nomism', the notion that God elects Israel into his covenant as an act of grace ('getting in') while Israel obeys the commandments ('staying in') to remain faithful to that covenant. On the covenant of the covenant of the covenant of the covenant of the covenant.

Sanders' legacy was further taken up by James Dunn when he proposed a 'new perspective on Paul'. 11 Dunn saw himself in broad agreement with Sanders' *Paul and Palestinian Judaism*. We shall have occasion to return to the distinction between Sanders and Dunn a bit later on. For now, though, it suffices to say that within this new perspective on Paul, Paul was no longer seen as separated from Judaism, a separation that only occurred at a much later date, in what was referred to as 'the Parting of the Ways'.

1.2 The Parting of the Ways (and Some of Its Problems)

The Parting of the Ways is a model used to describe the separation between Judaism and Christianity in 135 CE after the Bar Kochba revolt. Judith Lieu has traced the origin of this term back to a 1912 collection of essays by Foakes Jackson, entitled *The Parting of the Roads: Studies in the Development of Judaism and Early Christianity*. ¹² James Dunn became one its leading proponents. In 1989 he convened a research symposium at the University of Durham devoted to the theme of the parting of the ways between Christianity and Judaism. Their conclusions showed that the parting of the ways was a long drawnout process but that the period of 70–135 was of particular importance. ¹³ With these findings Dunn went on to paint the portrait of the partings (plural) of the ways between Judaism and Christianity. He called attention to the significance of the crisis of 70 CE (which ended in the destruction of the Temple) but that

⁹ Ed P. Sanders, *Paul and Palestinian Judaism: A Comparison of Patterns of Religion* (London: SCM, 1977).

¹⁰ Sanders, *Paul and Palestinian Judaism*, 75: "Briefly put, covenantal nomism is the view that one's place in God's plan is established on the basis of the covenant and that the covenant requires as the proper response of man his obedience to its commandments, while providing means of atonement for transgression."

¹¹ James D.G. Dunn, "The New Perspective on Paul," *BJRL* 65 (1983) 95–122. See also the collected essays in Id., *The New Perspective on Paul: Collected Essays*, WUNT I/185 (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2005).

¹² Judith Lieu, "The Parting of the Ways': Theological Construct or Historical Reality?" *JSNT* 56 (1994) 101–119, 101.

¹³ James D.G. Dunn, Jews and Christians: The Parting of the Ways A.D. 70 to 135. The Second Durham-Tübingen Research Symposium on Earliest Christianity and Judaism (Durham, September, 1989), WUNT I/66 (Grand Rapids, MI/Cambridge: Eerdmans, 1992).

it did not make a clear break between Judaism and emergent Christianity. This would happen in the period between the two Jewish revolts (66–70 and 132–135 CE) such that "by the end of the second Jewish revolt, Christian and Jew were clearly distinct and separate." With the dating of the parting of the ways to 135 CE it was understandable that Paul no longer occupied a central role in the debate on Christianity's origins. Yet, even for Dunn, Paul's contribution retained significance. This will be something to come back to.

While the Parting of the Ways became the quasi-standard model for explaining the split between Judaism and Christianity, it did not go unchallenged. Already in 1994 Judith Lieu critiqued the model for interpreting social phenomena with theological categories. It presented itself as a historical model but actually operated out of an apologetic agenda to maintain continuity between Israel and the Church. While the model was certainly more eirenic than the traditional typology of the Church superseding Israel, its aims were no less theological. This created a problem for historical analysis because the essentialist categories of 'Judaism' and 'Christianity' stem from an abstract and universal conception of religion in terms of doctrinal beliefs and affirmations. Consequently, it rendered the model unusable to consider specific and local instances of interactions between Jews, Christians and pagans in the early centuries of the Common Era. On the social and popular religious level these groups would not have understood that their ways had in fact 'parted'. While the writings of the 'theologians' such as the Church Fathers or the Rabbis may have provided evidence of a polarizing rhetoric that demarcated what would become the acceptable boundaries of orthodoxy and orthopraxy, Lieu questioned whether taking these at face value accurately represented what was happening on the ground. 15

Lieu's critique was further taken up by Adam Becker and Annette Yoshiko Reed. 16 Convening a conference in 2002 at Princeton University to examine whether the parting of the ways was indeed a suitable heuristic model, their findings concurred that literary and archaeological data actually showed a far messier state of affairs on the ground than what the clear-cut narrative of the parting ways suggests (what Lieu has described as a 'Y junction' – two paths diverging from a common origin). They explained the present popularity of the

¹⁴ Dunn, The Partings of the Ways Between Christianity and Judaism, 243 (emphasis his).

¹⁵ Her short case studies make this amply clear. They include pagan perceptions of Christian in relation to Jews, Jewish views of Christians/*minim* and the cultural interactions among religious groups in places like Phrygia. Lieu, "'The Parting of the Ways': Theological Construct or Historical Reality?," 110–118.

¹⁶ Adam H. Becker and Annette Yoshiko Reed (eds.), *The Ways That Never Parted: Jews and Christians in Late Antiquity and the Early Middle Ages*, TSAJ 95 (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2003) esp. 1–33.

parting model among scholars of early Christianity as a result of several developments that came about after the Second World War. These include the full realization of the horror of the Holocaust prompting scholars to research into the historical roots of anti-semitism, the shift away from *Spätjudentum* to an avid interest in what is now termed 'early Judaism', the burgeoning field of Jewish Studies, and the discovery and publication of the Dead Sea Scrolls making interdisciplinary studies possible. As a repudiation of the supersessionism, and even anti-Judaism/semitism, that used to plague academic scholarship, the parting model fits well with contemporary ecumenical concerns to view Judaism and Christianity as legitimate religions in their own right.

Similar to Lieu, however, Becker and Reed argued that the ecumenical concerns are ultimately not helpful for scholarship. The desire to see Judaism and Christianity as authentic and distinct has led to a division between the fields of 'Patristics' and 'Rabbinics', without any discussion between the two disciplines, thus replicating in the academy the isolation that Jews and Christians apparently had towards each other during that period. In their volume, however, the scholars maintain that social relations between Jews and Christians were more fluid and complex. As a result, the unilinear spatial metaphor of parting ways seems inadequate to describe such a state of affairs. While their publication did not propose a new model, they did point out other heuristic devices in existence: Philip Alexander's 'overlapping circles', Daniel Boyarin's 'wave theory', and Martin Goodman's series of diagrams in their own volume. ¹⁷ For Becker and Reed the Parting of the Ways is a principle that needs to be proved rather than presupposed. It is their contention that the process of 'parting' continued to take place throughout Late Antiquity and even into the early Middle Ages. They were also quick to point out that, even though Dunn took a theological approach, even he had to concede that the parting, though inevitable from hindsight, may not have been perceived as such by those purportedly undergoing it. 18

There is no denying that there were problems with Dunn's model. First of all, Lieu's call for greater attention to specific and local analyses clashed with Dunn's desire to see the big picture. ¹⁹ Then the explicit motivation to see Judaism and Christianity in strong continuity with one another has been evident

¹⁷ Philip Alexander, "'The Parting of the Ways' from the Perspective of Rabbinic Judaism," *Jews and Christians*, 1–26; Daniel Boyarin, *Border Lines: The Partition of Judaeo-Christianity*, Divinations: Rereading Late Ancient Religion (Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2004) 1–33; Martin Goodman, "Modeling the 'Parting of the Ways," *The Ways That Never Parted*, 119–129.

¹⁸ Becker and Reed, *The Ways That Never Parted*, 18 and n. 59 on the same page.

¹⁹ Dunn, *The Partings of the Ways*, i. The opening paragraph of the Preface confesses: "One of my besetting sins as a scholar (but perhaps it's a strength!) is the desire to see the large picture ... As a student of the New Testament and Christian beginnings I want to see

Index of References

Old Testament

| Genesis | | 34:35 | 42, 121, 140 |
|------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| 1:3 | 169 | | |
| 2:7 | 152 | Deuteronomy | |
| 15-17 | 116 | 9:10-11 | 140 |
| | | 27:26 | 140 |
| Exodus | | 29:2-4 | 140 |
| 4 | 119 | | |
| 16:7 | 140 | 2 Kings | |
| 16:10 | 140 | 2 | 135 |
| 24:17 | 140 | | |
| 31:1-6 | 151 | Proverbs | |
| 31:18 | 138, 140 | 3:3 | 140 |
| 32-34 | 49 | 7:3 | 140 |
| 32 | 49 | | |
| 32:1-34:35 | 152 | Isaiah | |
| 32:1 | 121 | 6:9-10 | 140 |
| 32:6 | 151 | 9:1 | 170 |
| 32:15 | 140 | 29:10-12 | 140 |
| 33-34 | 138, 157 | | |
| 33 | 49 | Jeremiah (LXX) | |
| 34 | 28, 119, 121, 144, | 17:1 | 140 |
| | 163, 179 | 31:31 (MT) | 148 |
| 34:10 | 22 | 38 | 49 |
| 34:12 | 22 | 38:31-34 | 50, 93, 119, 138- |
| 34:15 | 22 | | 139, 140, 154, 171 |
| 34:27 | 22 | | |
| 34:28-35 | 141 | Ezekiel | |
| 34:28 | 22 | 1:28 | 95 |
| 34:29-35 | 22, 30, 42, 48, 50, | 11:19 | 140 |
| | 65, 73, 93, 119, | 36 | 49, 50, 152 |
| | 125, 139, 151 | 36:25-27 | 50, 93, 119 |
| 34:29-30 | 140 | 36:26-27 | 138, 140, 144 |
| 34:29 | 73, 138 | 36:26 | 140 |
| 34:30 | 140 | | |
| 34:31-32 | 122 | | |
| 34:33 | 42, 122, 140 | | |
| 34:34 | 140, 161, 170 | | |

| Haggai (LXX) | | Malachi | |
|--------------|-----|---------|-----|
| 2:9 | 140 | 4:5-6 | 135 |

New Testament

| Matthew | | 10:1–13 | 151, 153, 171 |
|---------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| 11:12-13 | 135 | 10:1-11 | 151, 179 |
| 26:28-29 | 147 | 10:1-3 | 100 |
| 26:28 | 149 | 10:2 | 151, 153, 156, 183 |
| Mark | | 10:7 | 151 |
| 14:24-25 | 147 | 10:11 | 99, 100, 151, 153 |
| 14:24 | 149 | 10:16-17 | 151 |
| | | 10:21 | 151 |
| Luke | | 11 | 114, 144, 150, 151, |
| 22:16 | 147 | | 153 |
| 22:18 | 147 | 11:1 | 3, 156, 163, 164, |
| 22:19-20 | 148 | | 184 |
| 22:20 | 149 | 11:7 | 152 |
| | | 11:17-34 | 148 |
| Acts | | 11:17-22 | 148, 171–172 |
| 15 | 15 | 11:23-26 | 148, 149, 155, 171 |
| | | 11:23 | 21 |
| Romans | | 11:25 | 21, 44, 45, 59, 100, |
| 1-11 | 169 | | 144, 145, 148, 149, |
| 1:17 | 35 | | 150, 153, 156, 171, |
| 2 | 36 | | 183 |
| 4 | 36, 115, 116, 124 | 11:26 | 153 |
| 4:15 | 176 | 11:27-34 | 148 |
| 5 | 23, 36 | 12:12-31 | 172 |
| 6:6 | 65 | 12:12 | 82 |
| 7:6 | 65 | 12:13 | 82 |
| 7:7 | 176 | 12:27 | 82 |
| 8 | 36 | 15 | 153, 156, 169 |
| 9–11 | 24 | 15:3-4 | 45 |
| 10:4 | 70, 71, 73, 74, 99, | 15:20 | 171 |
| | 124 | 15:22 | 151 |
| 11:27 | 34 | 15:24 | 153 |
| | | 15:42-50 | 151 |
| 1 Corinthians | | 15:45 | 101, 152, 171 |
| 1–4 | 87, 163 | 15:56 | 176 |
| 4:1-21 | 89, 91 | | |
| 4:16 | 3, 156, 163, 164, | 2 Corinthians | |
| | 184 | 1:15-2:13 | 123 |
| 5:5-8 | 171 | 2:3-4 | 168 |
| 10 | 151 | 2:14-7:4 | 28, 41, 45, 167, 168 |

| 2:14-6:13 | 43 | 3:7-4:4 | 157 |
|-----------|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------|
| 2:14-4:18 | 104 | 3:7-18 | 1, 20, 30, 42, 43, |
| 2:14-4:15 | 146 | | 49, 50, 56, 61, 62, |
| 2:14-4:7 | 157 | | 63, 64, 65, 73, 74, |
| 2:14-4:6 | 41, 42, 104, 153, | | 96, 102, 117, 119, |
| 2.11 | 167, 175 | | 120, 121–123, 125, |
| 2:14-3:6 | 157, 169 | | 129, 137–147, 150– |
| | | | |
| 2:14–3:3 | 41, 43 | | 153, 154, 156, 157, |
| 2:14–17 | 28, 104, 108 | | 158, 159, 160, 162, |
| 2:14 | 105 | | 163, 164, 165, 176, |
| 2:16 | 22, 63, 169 | | 178, 179, 183, 184 |
| 2:17–4:6 | 108 | 3:7–11 | 35, 146 |
| 2:17 | 97, 118, 142, 146, | 3:7 | 1, 22, 40, 42, 62- |
| | 168 | | 64, 64–66, 67, 69, |
| 3-5 | 106, 169, 170 | | 71, 139, 140, 162, |
| 3–4 | 50, 144 | | 176 |
| 3:1-4:6 | 28, 96, 118, 157, | 3:8 | 22, 40 |
| 3.10 | 175 | 3:9 | 22, 35, 40, 162 |
| 3:1-18 | 28, 108, 157 | 3:10 | 67, 140 |
| | | | |
| 3:1-6 | 139 | 3:11 | 1, 22, 40, 42, 62– |
| 3:1–3 | 170 | 2 12 10 | 64, 67–68, 69, 74 |
| 3:1 | 118, 139, 142, 146, | 3:12–18 | 97, 99, 141, 158 |
| | 168 | 3:12–13 | 139 |
| 3:2-6 | 144 | 3:12 | 49, 179 |
| 3:2 | 105, 168 | 3:13–15 | 158 |
| 3:3–11 | 160 | 3:13 | 1, 22, 42, 62–64, |
| 3:3-6 | 49, 140 | | 69–71, 74, 99, 144, |
| 3:3 | 22, 46, 47, 138, | | 179 |
| | 140, 169 | 3:14-15 | 140 |
| 3:4-4:6 | 41, 161 | 3:14 | 1, 5, 21, 28, 35, 40, |
| 3:4-18 | 39, 41, 42, 43, 45, | | 48, 49, 62–64, 66, |
| | 59, 100, 101, 108, | | 71–72, 74, 84. 90, |
| | 160 | | 147, 156, 164, 165, |
| 3:4 | 49, 144 | | 170, 174, 182 |
| 3:5 | | 2.15 | |
| | 22, 63 | 3:15 | 63, 68, 71, 144, |
| 3:6–18 | 1, 2, 3, 5, 40, 49, | 2.16.4.6 | 160, 163–164 |
| | 94, 118, 139, 148, | 3:16–4:6 | 169 |
| | 164, 165, 178, 183 | 3:16–18 | 96, 97, 158, 179 |
| 3:6–7 | 22 | 3:16 | 22, 53, 63, 123, |
| 3:6 | 1, 5, 21, 22, 28, 35, | | 139, 160–163, 170 |
| | 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, | 3:18-4:6 | 161 |
| | 46, 47, 48, 49, 52, | 3:18 | 20, 22, 30, 53, 63, |
| | 56, 63, 92, 93, 101, | | 95, 101, 106, 108, |
| | 138, 140, 144, 145, | | 152, 156, 158, 162, |
| | 149, 150, 152, 153, | | 164, 169, 170, 175 |
| | 154, 156, 159, 162, | 4:1-6 | 108, 157 |
| | 164, 165, 167, 169, | 4:1 | 22, 141 |
| | 170, 171, 182, 183 | 4:2-5 | 146 |
| 3:7-4:6 | 169 | 4:2-3 | 73, 101 |
| J.1-7.0 | 107 | 7.4-3 | 13, 101 |

| 4:3 65, 97, 138 3:23–4:11 73, 124 | |
|--|---------|
| | |
| 4:4 108, 152, 156, 169, 3:26–29 82 | |
| 170, 175 4 23, 154 | |
| 4:5–7 157 4:4 99 | |
| 4:6–7 170 4:21–31 154 | |
| 4:6 96, 106, 108, 156, 5:4 65 | |
| 169, 170, 175 6:16 83 | |
| 4:7–18 104 | |
| 4:7–15 | |
| 4:10–11 169 2:12 33 | |
| 4:16–18 146 | |
| 4:16 Philippians | |
| 4:18 170 3:3–11 2, 62, 10 | 50, 184 |
| 5 104, 177 | |
| 5:4 Colossians | |
| 5:5 169 1:15–20 169 | |
| 5:7 170, 175 2:14 52 | |
| 5:11–21 169, 170 3:9–11 82 | |
| 5:11–13 169 | |
| 5:12 170 1 Thessalonians | |
| 5:15 169 2:14–16 24 | |
| 5:16 83 | |
| 5:17 29, 167, 169, 170 <i>Heliumus</i> | |
| 5:19 29 Hebrews | |
| 6:1-7:4 104 7:20-22 154 6:1-7:4 8:1-7 154 | |
| 6:8-10 106 8:6 154 | |
| 6:14-7:1 167 8:7-13 137, 143 | 2 |
| 10-13 106 147 | , |
| 10:3-6 104 8:7 154 10:3-6 104 8:13 | |
| 11:1–15 175 8.13 134 9:1 154 | |
| $11\cdot A$ $1AA$ | 1 |
| 11:13–15 106 9:15–22 137, 154 11:13–15 106 9:18 154 | t |
| 11:13 97 9.18 154 10:15–18 154 | |
| 12:1–12 104 106 | |
| 11 121 11:19 121 | |
| Galatians | |
| 1:13 160 11:22 121 1:14 154 | |
| J ¹ 1=14 | 1 |
| 2:11–14 82 12:24 137, 154 | + |
| 3–4 25 2 Peter | |
| 3 36, 116, 123, 124 2 Feler 3:6 40 | |
| 3:15 34, 35 | |
| 3:17 35 | |

Philo

| <i>Mos</i> . 2:271–272 | 93 | Somn. 2:250–254 | 95, 96 |
|------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------|
| <i>Migr.</i> 34–35 | 95 | <i>Cher.</i> 27–28 | 95, 96 |
| <i>Spec.</i> 3:1–6 | 95, 96 | | |

Josephus

Ant. 20:264–265 93

Dead Sea Scrolls

| 1QpHab | | 4:8 | 98 |
|---------|---------|-------------|----|
| 1:3 | 98 | 6:1–3 | 98 |
| 7 | 99, 105 | 6:11-19 | 98 |
| 7:1.4-5 | 98 | | |
| 7:2 | 99 | 4Q266 | |
| | | ii 1:9–10 | 98 |
| CD-A | | iii 2.9–11 | 98 |
| 1:4 | 98 | iii 2.17–25 | 98 |

Early Christian Literature

Ignatius, *Magnesians* 10:3 84

Index of Subjects and Names

121, 123, 124, 129, 146, 167, 171 Adam 100, 151-153, 156, 166, 169 Adams, Edward 2, 78-79, 89-90 Byrskog, Samuel 2, 113-115, 124, 125, 128 Campbell, William 2, 14, 15, 19, 20, 84-91 Christianity 5–13, 17, 18, 24, 32, 56–58, 62, 71, 73, 74, 78, 79, 84, 86, 89, 102, 114, 125, 141, 146, 162, 166, 175-180, 182 Christology 45, 82-84, 90 Community 20, 29-31, 44, 47, 76-82, 89, 100, 108, 113–115, 122–125, 127, 129, 130, 136, 143, 147–149, 152, 155, 162, 166, 167, 171–174, 177, 184 Conversion 2, 3, 11, 19, 20, 44, 76, 77, 96, 134, 157, 160–164, 170, 175, 179, 184 Corinth 2, 42, 43, 65, 87–91, 106, 122, 123, 138, 143–146, 150, 152, 155, 168, 171, 179, 184 Creation 3, 20, 28, 29, 30, 44, 78–80, 84, 85, 101, 107, 108, 110, 118, 165–170, 174, 175, 177, 180, 181, 184 Donaldson, Terence 19, 20, 84, 87, 162, 180, 185 Dunn, James 1, 8–19, 21–27, 31–33, 36-38, 44-47, 51-56, 58-61, 86, 87, 180, 182-184

Engberg-Pedersen, Troels 2, 20, 76–78,

89, 161-164

Abraham 35, 85, 111, 115–117, 119,

- Esler, Philip 2, 79, 87, 115–117, 120, 121, 123–125, 129
- Glory 2, 12, 22, 27, 29–31, 42, 48, 49, 53, 55, 56, 59, 60, 62–72, 74, 94–96, 105–106, 117–125, 140–142, 150, 152, 157, 158, 160, 162, 163, 170, 171, 175, 184
- Hafemann, Scott 1, 39–61, 63, 64, 69, 71–73, 93, 94, 98, 100–102, 119, 139, 145, 168, 175, 183

 Hermeneutics 1, 3, 17, 81, 90, 93, 94, 97, 98, 102, 103, 106, 107, 118, 133, 165–181, 182, 185

 Horrell, David 2, 82–84, 86, 88–91
- Identity 2, 6, 12, 15, 17, 20, 24, 26–32, 43, 60, 73, 76–91, 92–109, 110–117, 120, 124–127, 129, 130, 132, 134, 136, 144, 146, 153, 155, 156–164, 165–168, 171–174, 176, 179, 180, 182–185
 Ideology 3, 16, 73, 81, 89–91, 101, 112,
- 120, 132, 165–177, 180 Israel 8, 9, 15–17, 19, 20, 22–25, 27, 29, 33, 40, 41–50, 52–55, 57–60, 70, 74, 83, 85–87, 90, 93, 105, 108, 116–118, 139, 157–159, 166, 169, 177, 179, 182
- Jewishness 6–12, 16, 178, 181 Judaism 2, 3, 5–19, 23, 24, 26, 31, 32, 36, 37, 56–59, 62, 71, 73, 74, 76, 80, 81, 84–87, 90, 101, 102, 112, 125, 137, 143, 155, 160, 162, 164–166, 176–180, 182, 184, 185

Law 3, 8, 11, 15, 16, 22, 23, 25, 26, 29, 30, 36, 39–61, 65–70, 72–74, 80, 85, 92, 93, 99–102, 120, 121, 123–125, 144–146, 162–165, 171, 181, 184

Le Donne, Anthony 2, 127, 133–137, 147–150, 153, 155, 156, 159, 183

Lieu, Judith 2, 8, 9, 10, 110–112, 124–125, 128, 130, 131, 134

Marcionism 56–57, 183
Memory 2, 5, 87, 88, 91, 109–155, 165–
166, 171–174, 176, 177, 183–185
Moses 2, 22, 23, 28, 29, 37, 40–59, 62–
75, 94, 96, 99, 101, 102, 104, 105, 117–125, 129, 137, 138, 140, 141–
146, 150–154, 156–166, 171, 179, 183, 184

Narrative 2, 3, 9, 20, 49, 62, 65, 66, 73, 74, 94, 101, 104, 105, 109, 111, 113–115, 116–125, 132, 134, 135, 138, 150, 155, 159, 160, 162, 164, 165, 169, 171, 176, 183, 184

New perspective 1, 2, 5, 6, 7–19, 21–39, 42, 47, 51–56, 57, 60–62, 80, 81, 83, 87, 105, 113, 147–151, 165, 166, 178, 180, 182–185

Parting of the ways 6–11, 15, 17, 74, 80, 81, 84, 102, 125, 165, 177, 178, 182

Reception history 3, 137, 141, 165, 166, 174–177, 180, 184
Refraction 2, 126–157, 159, 164, 165, 183
Ricoeur, Paul 166, 170, 172–175, 177, 185
Rodríguez, Rafael 2, 130–133, 136

Sanders, Ed 1, 7, 8, 11–15, 17–19, 44, 45, 47, 58, 62, 67, 74, 78, 137, 160, 166, 180, 182, 184
Shkul, Minna 2, 129–131, 136
Social-scientific 76, 82, 91, 129, 171, 185
Sociological 2, 32, 42, 76–91, 102, 103, 117, 121, 161, 162, 164, 183, 185
Stendahl, Krister 7, 11, 15, 37, 182

Transformation 2, 3, 17, 19, 20, 30, 46, 60, 61, 76–91, 92–109, 132, 143, 153, 155–166, 170, 172, 175, 179, 184

Tucker, Brian 2, 87–89, 91, 163

Typology 9, 78, 79, 134, 136, 151–153, 156, 168

Veil 40, 48, 49, 53, 62–75, 118–123, 137, 157, 160, 162, 164, 171, 176

Watson, Francis 2, 12, 14, 79, 80–81, 86, 90, 93, 94, 99, 118, 119, 145