Innovation in Persian Period Judah

Edited by JILL MIDDLEMAS

Forschungen zum Alten Testament 2. Reihe 144

Mohr Siebeck

Forschungen zum Alten Testament 2. Reihe

Edited by

Corinna Körting (Hamburg) · Konrad Schmid (Zürich) Mark S. Smith (Princeton) · Andrew Teeter (Harvard)

144



Innovation in Persian Period Judah

Royal and Temple Ideology in its Ancient Near East Setting

edited by

Jill Middlemas

Mohr Siebeck

JILL MIDDLEMAS, born 1971; 2004 DPhil Oxon; Associate Professor in Biblical Theology, Faculty of Theology, Copenhagen University. orcid.org/0000-0001-9972-4786

ISBN 978-3-16-161236-7/eISBN 978-3-16-162251-9 DOI 10.1628/978-3-16-162251-9

ISSN 1611-4914/eISSN 2568-8367 (Forschungen zum Alten Testament, 2. Reihe)

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliographie; detailed bibliographic data are available at http://dnb.de.

© 2023 Mohr Siebeck Tübingen, Germany. www.mohrsiebeck.com

This book may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form (beyond that permitted by copyright law) without the publisher's written permission. This applies particularly to reproductions, translations and storage and processing in electronic systems.

The book was typeset by Martin Fischer in Tübingen, printed on non-aging paper by Laupp & Göbel in Gomaringen, and bound by Buchbinderei Nädele in Nehren.

Printed in Germany.

In fond memory of Gary Neil Knoppers (1958–2018)

 for his contribution to the full breadth and depth of Old Testament studies as well as in grateful remembrance of his support for and cooperation with colleagues and students near and far. His light dimmed far too soon, but his life and legacy will be a blessing for the future.

> O LORD, my God, I cried out to you and you healed me, O LORD, you brought me up from Sheol, you preserved my life from going down into the pit. (Ps. 30:3-4)

Table of Contents

JILL MIDDLEMAS Introduction: The Restoration of the King and Temple
in Persian Period Yehud
I. Conceptions of the King and Kingship
LOUIS C. JONKER Is the book of Chronicles Yehud's equivalent of Bisitun?
Bernard Gosse David and Moses in Post-exilic Times
W. DENNIS TUCKER, JR. Creation, Kingship, and the Reframing of the Monarchy in the Psalter: A Comparative Analysis
DEIRDRE N. FULTON "Seeking the God of his Father": Examining King Josiah's Early Reforms in 2 Chronicles 34 in Light of Persian Period Kingship
II. Conceptions of the Temple
JASON M. SILVERMAN Imperial Chapels or Oratories of Resistance? Differential Integration of Temples in the Persian Empire
Daniel Bodi The Temple in Persian Times as a Viable Economic Entity: A Comparison of Jerusalem's Temple and Uruk's Eanna
SAMASONI MOLELI Cultic worship with a CCOrding to 1 Kings 8:41–43 141

Gard Granerød	
What were the Elephantine Judaeans' Conceptions of YHW?	
Aspects of Elephantine Judaean Temple Theology	155
Bibliography	171
List of Contributors	201
	200
ndex of Biblical and Extra-Biblical References	203
ndex of Authors	213

Introduction: The Restoration of the King and Temple in Persian Period Yehud

JILL MIDDLEMAS

Biblical scholars tend to regard the bulk of the Hebrew Bible or Old Testament (hereafter OT) as the product of the Persian period which stretched from the overthrow of the Babylonian empire in 539 to the end of Achaemenid rule in ca. 330 BCE effectuated by Alexander the Great. The association of the OT, including the origination and redaction of inherited traditions, with Persian rule leaves a number of questions about whether, how, and to what extent Persian influence was exerted on Yehud, the former southern kingdom of Judah, and the Jerusalem elites responsible for its written records. The present volume arose from a series of discussions that took place as part of the Persian period seminar at the Society of Biblical Literature meetings to address exactly these questions by attending to thought about the king and the temple in comparative perspective. The two themes of king and temple intersect and diverge as points of interest partly because of early initiatives by the Persian kings at empire building and maintenance, but also because of the historical record of Yehud during the entire Achaemenid period. By way of introduction, this chapter presents a brief overview of Persian imperial strategies and governance that had repercussions for the province of Yehud, a brief summary of the situation in the former kingdom of Judah in the Second Temple period, and an introduction to the essays of the volume set within consideration of the dual topoi of the king and temple.

1. Persian Rule and the Persian Period

The advent of Persian rule over the former Babylonian Empire through the military campaigns of Cyrus the Great (550–522 BCE) and the restructure of the empire under Darius I (522–486 BCE) ushered in a new era for the ancient Near East (ANE). In the early Persian period, the two rulers of the Achaemenid line, Cyrus (550–530) and his son Cambyses (530–522), concentrated on con-

¹ Histories of the Persian empire include P. Briant, From Cyrus to Alexander: A History of the Persian Empire (trans. P. T. Daniels; Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, 2002); E. S. Gerstenberger, Israel in the Persian Period: The Fifth and Fourth Centuries BCE (trans. S. S. Schatzmann; BE 8; Atlanta: SBL, 2011); M. W. Waters, Ancient Persia: A Concise History of the Achaemenid Empire,

solidating and expanding the empire. Generally speaking, they left the administrative apparatus and governing units in place that they had inherited from the Babylonians before them.² Cyrus and his son Cambyses put in place a series of mechanisms to foster control of a vast empire including fortification, tribute, temple construction, and propaganda. According to archaeological evidence, for example, fortification towers were constructed and rebuilt along the western periphery perhaps to secure the area against Egyptian interference in the latter part of the sixth century BCE.3 At the same time, Cyrus implemented strategies to promote the steady flow of tribute to the heartland and, perhaps more importantly given his strategic aims, to secure food and supplies for the sustenance of the imperial army. Increased settlement in the region of Diyala in this period suggests the movement of populations around the empire to further imperial and local goals of establishing long-term economic and agricultural development. 4 Of more importance for the present volume, the first two Persian kings promoted temple restoration and building as a means to foster support and stability in volatile regions - Cyrus in Babylon and Cambyses in Egypt.

A view to comparative material shows that Persian policy allowed, supported, and even sponsored the regeneration of local communities and their important cultic centres. The most lauded piece of evidence cited to expand on Persian policies after the submission of Babylonia to the rule of Cyrus is the Cyrus Cyliner (COS 2:314–16; ANET 315–16). The Cyrus Cylinder is a cuneiform inscription related to the restoration of temple property and people on a ten-inch (roughly 25 cm) clay barrel currently housed in the British Museum. It is consistent with other statements in ancient times from kings who conquered territory and sought to quell rebellion by vilifying the defeated ruler through claims of continuity with local and national traditions and with the support of the local god.⁵

^{550–330} BCE (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014). Cf. A. Kuhrt, *The Persian Empire: A Corpus of Sources from the Achaemenid Period* (London: Routledge, 2010).

² M. Dandamaev and V.G. Lukonin, *The Culture and Social Institutions of Ancient Iran* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989), 97. J. Middlemas, *The Troubles of Templeless Judah* (OTM; Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2005), 68–70, contains a brief overview of the transition to Persian rule and a number of additional references.

³ M. Mallowan, "Cyrus the Great (558–529 BC)," in *The Cambridge History of Iran*, Vol. 2 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1991), 392–419, here 408–9; E. Stern, "The Persian Empire and the Political and Social History of Palestine in the Persian Period," *The Cambridge History of Judaism*, Vol. 1, *Introduction, The Persian Period* (eds. W. Davies and L. Finkelstein; Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1984), 70–87, here 86.

⁴ G. C. Cameron, "Cyrus the 'Father' and Babylonia," in *Acta Iranica*. Vol. 1, *Premiere Serie: Commemoration Cyrus* (Leiden: Brill, 1974), 45–48; K. G. Hoglund, *Achaemenid Imperial Administration in Syria-Palestine and the Missions of Ezra and Nehemiah* (Atlanta: Scholars Press, 1992), 3–5, 57–59; P. R. Davies, *In Search of 'Ancient Israel'* (Sheffield: JSOT Press, 1992), 79–81; O. Lipschits, "The History of the Benjamin Region under Babylonian Rule," *TA* 26 (1999): 182–85.

⁵ The propagandistic purposes of the Cyrus Cylinder have long been recognized, but see A. Kuhrt, *The Ancient Near East c. 3000–330 BC*, Vol. 2 (London: Routledge, 1995), 601–3.

... I returned to (these) sacred cities on the other side of the Tigris, the sanctuaries of which have been ruins for a long time, the images which (used) to live therein and established for them permanent sanctuaries. I (also) gathered all their (former) inhabitants and returned (to them) their habitations. Furthermore, I resettled upon the command of Marduk, the great lord, all the gods of Sumer and Akkad whom Nabonidus has brought into Babylon ... to the anger of the lord of the gods, unharmed in their (former) chapels, the places which make them happy. (ANET 315–16)

The sentiments expressed on the Cyrus Cylinder have long been used to suggest that Cyrus launched an empirewide campaign to return divine statues to their shrines of origin, restore devastated and ruined temples, and repatriate peoples forcibly deported by the Babylonians to their native homelands.

In recent years, how integral Persian imperial policies of appeasement have been in the formation of the religio-political context of Yehud has fallen under increased scrutiny, with particular questions being raised about whether Cyrus was concerned with the return of the cultic images of deities and peoples outside of Babylonia.⁶ As Rainer Albertz notes, upon closer scrutiny the communities listed on the Cyrus Cylinder include devastated or neglected areas in the border region between Babylonia and Persia. As such, they represent a more diverse area than solely within the Babylonian heartland.⁷ Notably, Cyrus sponsored temple reconstruction projects in Ur according to four extant building bricks, 8 which is in keeping with the ideology expressed on the Cyrus Cylinder.9 The evidence of Cyrus' attitude towards the restoration of sanctuaries, the return of seized temple property, and the resettlement of deported peoples who identified with their native homelands suggests ideological purposes – that he "kept to a conservative policy of restoring local cults so as to reinforce the local identity of the population and increase their loyalty to the Persian crown." In the early period of Persian rule, the stability and cohesion of the empire depended on the good will of local communities and local power structures.

Through comparison with the inscription of Udjahorresnet and the Elephantine papyri, for example, a clearer picture of Persian initiatives to foster stability and communal renewal emerges through attention to matters related to local

⁶ A. Kuhrt, "The Cyrus Cylinder and Achaemenid Imperial Policy," *JSOT* 25 (1983): 83–97.

⁷ R. Albertz, *Israel in Exile: The History and Literature of the Sixth Century B.C.E.* (trans. D. Green; SBL 3; Atlanta: SBL, 2003), 112–16.

⁸ Three of the building bricks explicitly mention the military leader Cyrus as the sanctuary's benefactor. A badly damaged fourth brick no longer contains the name of the lauded royal benefactor, but the depiction of the king who returned the gods to their shrines that accompanies the inscription is thought to represent Cyrus.

⁹ Å.L. Oppenheim, "The Babylonian Evidence of Achaemenian Rule in Mesopotamia," in *The Cambridge History of Iran*, Vol. 2, *The Median and Achaemenian Periods* (ed. I. Gershevitch; Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1985), 529–97.

¹⁰ Albertz, Israel in Exile, 116.

communities and their temple cults.¹¹ The statue of Udjahorresnet contains a hieroglyphic inscription that is an important source of information for the Persian kings Cambyses and Darius I. The text portrays Cambyses and his successor Darius fulfilling the functions of the Egyptian pharaohs. As such, they act in accordance with local customs and pay obeisance to the local gods. The inscription suggests that Cambyses sought to quell rebellion in Egypt by working with local leaders and communities. In this way, interpreters align his approach with that of his father, Cyrus, who similarly implemented a strategy of appeasement. Of the evidence from the statue of Udjahorresnet, Amelie Kuhrt writes:

Udjahorresnet shows that Cambyses' policy in Egypt closely follows that of Cyrus in Babylonia: forging links with local elites, installing them in honoured (though not politically powerful) positions, exploiting their familiarity with local conditions in order to make acceptance of his rule as palatable as possible and moulding himself to fit the role an Egyptian king was traditionally expected to fill – honouring the gods, authorising continued offerings, maintaining their sanctuaries in purity, adopting ceremonial Egyptian titles and names. ¹²

The actions of Cambyses in Egypt mirrored those of his father Cyrus in Babylonia. Both men worked within regional administrative and religious structures to promote Persian rule. Their examples of respect for local authority are continued by Darius who was not of the Achaemenid line, but, nevertheless, ascended to the throne after the untimely death of Cambyses under mysterious circumstances.

There are traces of a policy of appeasement already in the time of the Neo-Babylonians. Although the Babylonians generally left capitals and major cities devastated and in ruins with little or no signs of reconstruction, they appeared to have made an exception for the temple in Ashur, which was reconstructed with the assistance of imperial sponsorship. The return of former inhabitants of the kingdom of Judah in the early period of the rule of Darius as part of a greater imperial policy to encourage local communities to remain loyal to the Persian government, is in line with ANE practice as evidenced in the written

¹¹ L. L. Grabbe, Judaism From Cyrus to Hadrian (London: SCM, 1994), 97; E. Bresiani, "The Persian Occupation of Egypt," in The Cambridge History of Iran, Vol. 2, The Media and Achaemenian Periods (ed. I. Gershevitch; Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1985), 502–28, here 504; J. M. S. Delgado, "Cambyses in Sais: Political and Religious Context in Achaemenid Egypt," Chronique d'Égypt 79 (2020): 31–52. On Udjahorresnet, see A. B. Lloyd, "The Inscription of Udjahorresnet: A Collaborator's Testament," JEA 66 (1986): 166–80; J. Blenkinsopp, "The Mission of Udjahorresnet and those of Ezra and Nehemiah," JBL 106 (1987): 409–21. On the evidence of the Elephantine papyri, see G. Granerød, Dimensions of Yahwism in the Persian Period: Studies in the Religion and Society of the Judeaen Community in Elephantine (Berlin: de Gruyter, 2016) and his contribution to this volume. Cf. R. G. Kratz, "The Second Temple of Jeb and of Jerusalem," in Judah and the Judeans in the Persian Period (eds. O. Lipschits and M. Oeming; Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, 2006), 247–64.

¹² Kuhrt, The Ancient Near East, 663.

¹³ Middlemas, *The Troubles of Templeless Judah*, 66–67.

record. Consistent with the biblical hints of a return taking place at the time of Zerubbabel is information gleaned from a family archive recovered from Neirab, which lies 4 km south of Aleppo, that records the repatriation of the Nuskugabbe family to the region of Syria from Mesopotamia during the early years of Darius (ca. 522/21 BCE).¹⁴

Because the advent of his reign was met with a series of revolts in Babylonia and across the empire, Darius I instituted a series of policies to encourage loyalty in local communities. Darius' initiatives to foster the stability and longevity of the empire played a not insignificant role in the resurgence of Yehud after the disastrous fall of Jerusalem. To his reign is attributed state interest in the reconstruction of the temple in Jerusalem as well as the first true wave of returnees from Babylon. When seen in this way, the return of the Nusku-gabbe family from exile in Babylonia to their homeland in Syria during the early years of the reign of Darius contributed to the strategic placement of loyal subjects in the wider empire, especially at its western periphery. The efforts of Zerubbabel and Joshua (Heb. Jeshua) to encourage the repatriation of the exiles who had been deported to Babylon as well as the limited rebuilding of the temple also seem to function similarly.

While Cyrus and Cambyses sought to stabilize and secure the empire from the threat of revolt especially from the two main powers in the region of the ANE, Babylon and Egypt, Darius (522-486 BCE) set about to implement strategies to promote the long-term maintenance of the empire through fiscal and administrative reforms. His ascension to the throne coincided with a backlash throughout the empire in Elam, Babylonia, Armenia, and Egypt among the more serious of the revolts that took place. By the end of 521 BCE, Darius had resumed control over all of the former empire of Cambyses, except for Egypt, to which he would turn his attention in 519. To dissuade future revolt, Darius implemented a series of creative strategies to maintain and sustain the unity of the empire. He reorganized the kingdom to facilitate more centralized control over the conquered territories and to create security in unstable areas. During the first two years of his reign, he put into place six imperial strategies to secure his rule and the empire. These include a sophisticated propaganda campaign that linked his lineage to those he claimed as his forbearers, the Achaemenids Cyrus and Cambyses, as well as to the support and blessing of the Persian gods. Politically, he oversaw an administrative restructuring in which the lands under

¹⁴ Albertz, *Israel in Exile*, 125, cites the important study by S. Timm, "Die Bedeutung der spätbabylonischen Texte aus Nērab für die Rückkehr der Judäer aus dem Exil," in *Meilenstein: Festgabe für Herbert Donner* (eds. M. Weippert and S. Timm; Ägypten und Altes Testament 30; Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1995), 276–88. See G. Tolini, "From Syria to Babylon and Back: The Neirab Archive," in *Exile and Return: The Babylonian Context* (eds. J. Stökl and C. Waerzeggers; BZAW 478; Berlin: de Gruyter, 2015), 58–93.

¹⁵ Ibid., 123-27.

Persian control were organized into 20 administrative units called satraps with a fixed yearly tribute for each. He also brought the military branch directly under the authority and control of the king. Further, he appointed and promoted loyal noble elites to positions of authority throughout the empire. In addition, he provided resources and ideological support for building projects, including the construction of temples and other holy sites, and even sponsored initiatives to codify local laws. Whereas Cyrus and Cambyses had been concerned with empire building, Darius focused his attention on risk management and the creation of centralized policies to foster long-term maintenance.

The Bisitun (Behistun) Inscription, a monumental display etched onto the rockface of a cliff located on an important trade route leading to the Persian capital Ecbatana, dates from the early years of Darius' reign. The inscription features the subjugation of numerous revolts against his reign depicted through his physical vanquishment of enemies and made possible through the support and authority of the Persian god Ahura Mazda. The inscription opens by clarifying Darius' destiny to rule the Persian empire, contains a highly ideological account of the defeat of a 'pretender' to the throne, ¹⁶ presents himself as the liberator of the people, and lauds his efforts to restore sanctuaries and repatriate exiled peoples to their native homelands.

Saith Darius the King: The kingdom which had been taken away from our family that I put in its right place; I reset it on its foundation. As before, so I made the sanctuary which Gaumata the Magian destroyed, I restored to the people the pastures and the herds ... which Gaumata the Magian took away from them. (Behistun Inscription Col. $14\,1.61-71$)¹⁷

Written copies of the inscription were distributed throughout the many provinces of the empire in local languages. The inscription has been found on a stele set up in Babylon and a copy in Aramaic exists among the records of a Jewish military colony that existed in Egypt until the middle of the fifth century BCE called the Elephantine Papyri. Notably, the name of Darius is found on more Egyptian monuments than all of the other Persian kings put together, which signals once again that propaganda was a major initiative during his reign intended to contribute to the stabilization of the empire and to support his legitimacy as imperial ruler.

¹⁶ There are indications that the enemy vanquished and presented as a usurper by Darius was the other legitimate son of Cyrus who ruled for a number of years in Persian, while his brother Cambyses was in Egypt. For example, the reign of Smerdis known as Gaumata in the inscription marked a smooth transition in Persian governance and society. Moreover, numerous Mesopotamian business and legal documents are dated to his reign and suggest transition to his rule was a natural occurrence. See the arguments for and against presented in M.A. Dandamaev, *A Political History of the Achaemenid Empire* (Leiden: Brill, 1989), 83–91; Kuhrt, *The Ancient Near East*, 664–67.

¹⁷ R. B. Kent, *Old Persian: Grammar, Texts, Lexicon* (New Haven: American Oriental Society, 1953).

In the interest of space, we have focused on the three kings who implemented Achaemenid rule over the ancient world from the end of the sixth and well into the fifth centuries. They established the important parameters of the ideology of the monarch and the temple that reverberated throughout Persian rule. In the context of the consideration of Yehud in the Persian period, perhaps one of the significant points of commonality among the three rulers, Cyrus, Cambyses, and Darius, is the focus on the restoration of local communities as a strategy of empire. The Persian system depended on co-operation with local ruling classes, which in turn allowed for a degree of self-governance as long as the financial, administrative, and political interests of the Persians were not abrogated. Notably, the satrap could strip local rulers of their governing positions if Persian control and interests in the area were deemed to be under threat.¹⁸ Within this system, the former kingdom of Judah became a distinct administrative unit, one of the smallest in the Land Beyond the River, with its own provincial government and administration, and it is to the emerging province of Yehud that we now turn.¹⁹

1.1 Judah in Persian Times – The Province of Yehud

The former kingdom of Judah was subsumed within the Persian empire as the province of Yehud, which formed part of a larger administrative unit referred to as Across the River (Aramaic abar nahara or Babylonian ebir nari). It was later joined to Samaria by the time of Nehemiah in the fifth century BCE. Because Cyrus and Cambyses were more concerned with the maintenance and expansion of the empire, it appears that they primarily adopted the governing policies they inherited from the Babylonians. Under this system, the province of Yehud experienced no significant organizational changes from that known under Babylonian rule, which meant a relative degree of societal independence and self-governance.

After Babylon fell to the Persians, the imperial rulers of the former kingdom of Judah changed, but everyday life effectively remained the same for the majority of those within and outside the homeland. Ideologically, though, significant changes were made in terms of the understanding of the state and of its chief national deity, Yahweh.²⁰ The temple and royal palace had been destroyed, the king and members of the royal family had been deported to the royal court in Babylon, and the members of the temple priesthood who had not been killed or died in the downfall were deported to the Babylonian heartland along with members of the ruling classes and their families, the inhabitants of Jerusalem,

¹⁸ Kuhrt, The Ancient Near East, 697-98.

 ¹⁹ Blenkinsopp, "Temple," 37; Hoglund, Achaemenid Imperial Administration, 26.
 ²⁰ P.R. Bedford, Temple Restoration in Early Achaemenid Judah (JSJSup 65; Leiden, Brill, 2001), has notably drawn attention to commonality between the ideologies of the biblical literature of the First Temple period and the early Second Temple period.

military personnel, and other skilled laborers. The society, governance, and religion of Yehud were very different from that which had existed before the fall of Jerusalem and Judah to the Babylonians. In the pre-fall period, the kingdom of Judah was a monarchy and the king was the head of the state and the cult. Although there was a high priest of the temple, the highest authority in the land was the king. In the post-fall, provincial period, the high priest of the temple became the religious leader of the province who gradually exerted increasing influence in civic and political matters. Notably, the former kingdom of Judah would never have a king again except for a brief period under the Maccabees in the second to first centuries BCE (165–63 BCE).

Communal life for the Judahites who had remained in the land had shifted north to the territory of Benjamin with an established provisional capital in Mizpah and possibly also an operative temple at the traditional and ancient sacred cultic site of Bethel or elsewhere.²¹ Biblical literature dated to the Persian period, such as Zechariah 1–8 and possibly Isaiah 56–66, sought to bolster the importance of Jerusalem as the political, religious, and social centre of the province.²² After the rise of Cyrus who authorized (some) native peoples to return and reoccupy their homelands, one of the many challenges faced by Yehud was to regenerate society for the former Judahites, including those who had remained in the land, the exiles deported to Babylonia, and other refugees who had returned after sojourning in neighboring nation-states and Egypt.²³

²¹ E.g. O. Lipschits, *The Fall and Rise of Jerusalem* (Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, 2005); J. Middlemas, *Troubles* and idem, *The Templeless Age: An Introduction to the History, Literature, and Theology of the "Exile"* (Louisville: Westminster John Knox, 2007). See the discussion and references in J. Blenkinsopp, "The Age of the Exile," in *The Biblical World*, Vol. 1 (ed. J. Barton; London and New York: Routledge, 2002), 416–39; J. Middlemas, "Exile, Migration, and Diaspora After the Fall of Jerusalem" in *The Biblical World*, New Edition (ed. K. J. Dell; London and New York: Routledge, 2021), 519–37.

²² E.g. P. Marinkovic, "Zechariah 1–8 and the Second Temple," in Second Temple Studies, Vol. 2, Temple and Community in the Persian Period (eds. T.C. Eskenazi and K.H. Richards; JSOTSup. 175; Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1994), 88–103; Middlemas, The Troubles of Templeless Judah, 133–44; J. Middlemas, "Going Beyond the Myth of the Empty Land: A Reassessment of the Early Persian Period," in Exile and Restoration Revisited: Essays on the Babylonian and Persian Periods in Memory of Peter R. Ackroyd (eds. G.N. Knoppers and L.L. Grabbe with D. Fulton; London: T&T Clark, 2019), 174–94; K.A. Ristau, "Rebuilding Jerusalem: Zechariah's Vision within Visions," in Knoppers and Grabbe, Exile and Restoration Revisited, 195–213. Cf. Middlemas, The Troubles of Templeless Judah, 136–40, on arguments for the ideological purpose of Isa. 57:3–13 to discredit religious worship outside of Jerusalem and possibly at the otherwise legitimate location of Bethel.

²³ The timeline for the return and repatriation of some of those forcibly deported from the former kingdom of Judah remains unclear, but it is generally agreed that a mass return of exiled Judahites at the advent of Persian rule never took place and instead those who chose to return to Judah did so in waves over a number of years well into the Persian period. E.g. B. Becking, "'We All Returned as One!' Critical Notes on the Myth of the Mass Return," in O. Lipschits and M. Oeming, eds., *Judah and the Judeans in the Persian Period*, 3–18; L. L. Grabbe, "'They Shall Come Rejoicing in Zion' – Or Did They? The Settlement of Yehud in the Early Persian

In addition, the socio-historical situation for the imperial province of Yehud, the former kingdom of Judah, has changed in terms of governance and religion. The twin prongs of Zion theology that had permeated the thought and religio-political sphere of the southern kingdom of Judah, the Davidic king and the centrality of the palace-temple complex in Jerusalem, were no more. Not only would the province of Yehud never have a king, the priesthood of the rebuilt temple would take on greater authority in political matters. Over time, some of the members of the former kingdom forcibly deported to the Babylonian heartland chose to return to their native homeland. Back in the province of Yehud, the repatriated exiles, collectively known as the *Golah*, solidified their power and created a society under their authority and guided by the law. Religious law and piety took on increasing significance under the priestly rulers. Politically, socially, and religiously Persian period Yehud assumed its own identity distinct from that which pertained during the period of the monarchy.

According to the biblical material, there are three main stages of renewal that occurred during Persian rule which coincided with the leadership of Sheshbazaar, Zerubbabel and the priest Joshua (biblical Jeshua), and Erza/Nehemiah.²⁴ The first stage coincided with the elusive Sheshbazaar for whom we have only scant traces in the biblical literature (Ezra 1:5–11; 5:14–16; cf. 6:3–5). Soon after the creation of the Persian Empire through the military endeavors of Cyrus, Sheshbazaar was appointed as governor (and according to Ezra 5:14) and commissioned to return the temple vessels to Jerusalem that had been removed by Nebuchadnezzar and taken to Babylon. It is thought that Sheshbazaar was also charged with the reconstruction of the temple, but his efforts led only to laying the foundations (Ezra 5:16).²⁵ There remains a debate about whether or not Sheshbazaar was commissioned from Babylonia at the time of Cyrus to return the temple vessels or whether he was already serving as the governor of the region and had been recalled to Babylonia to collect the vessels for return.²⁶ Certainly,

Period," in Knoppers and Grabbe, *Exile and Restoration Revisited*, 116–27 and "The Reality of the Return: The Biblical Picture Versus Historical Reconstruction," in Stökl and Waerzeggers, *Exile and Return*, 292–307.

²⁴ Following the thorough analysis of S. Japhet, "The Temple in the Restoration Period: Reality and Ideology," *USQR* 44 (1991): 195–251. Cf. K. Galling, *Studien zur Geschichte Israels im Persischen Zeitalter* (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 1964); P.R. Ackroyd, *Exile and Restoration: A Study of Hebrew Thought of the Sixth Century BC* (London: SCM, rep., 1994), 138–52; Grabbe, *Judaism From Cyrus to Hadrian*, 73–100; Albertz, *Israel in Exile*, 119–38; J. M. Miller and J. H. Hayes, *A History of Ancient Israel and Judah* (2nd edition; Louisville: Westminster John Knox, 2006), 498–540.

²⁵ A. Gelston, "The Foundations of the Second Temple," VT 16 (1966): 232–5.

²⁶ The account in Chronicles mentions a Shenazzar as one of the sons of the Davidic king Jehoiachin (1 Chron. 3:18). Shenazzar has sometimes been conflated with the elusive Sheshbazaar by interpreters who argue that he returned as a descendent of David with the temple vessels and laid the foundations of the temple. The association of the two men has largely been disproven and no longer features in histories of the period. It is reasonable to regard Sheshbazaar as the

his name is Babylonian, but his actual position at the time remains unclear. His activities, though, coincide with the time in which Cyrus was in Babylon and at the very least remain consistent with his polices aimed at the return of temple treasures and divine statues to their rightful places elsewhere in the empire.

According to the biblical account, actual building work on the temple in Jerusalem would only resume at a later time and in conjunction with the activities of the prophets Haggai and Zechariah as well as the civic and religious leaders, Zerubbabel and Joshua. The second stage of renewal, then, coincides with the activities associated with Haggai, Zechariah, Zerubbabel, and Joshua which are dated in the material to the years 520-518 BCE. The biblical record is consistent with the policies enacted over a period of time by Darius I (522-486 BCE) to reform and re-organize the empire in order to foster stability, greater imperial oversight, and the secure collection of taxes. The dedication of the temple is mentioned only in the Ezra account (6:14-22) and took place according to the Aramaic record in 515 BCE.²⁷ The role of Darius in, at the very least agreeing to, if not also sponsoring, the reconstruction of the temple in Jerusalem as well as the return and repatriation of the some of the exiles remains unclear, but highly probable. The return and repatriation of a loyal group of Persian born Judahites would have participated in Darius' attempts to foster stability throughout the far reaches of the empire just at the point when a series of revolts rocked the land.

A third phase of rebuilding took place under the leadership of Nehemiah and Ezra whose activities led to the fortification of the city, the reformation of the law, the purification and rededication of the temple, and the creation of a unified ethnically Jewish society traced to the repatriated exiles (the *Golah*). Both men were authorized by the Persian king Artaxerxes to return to Yehud from Babylon and build and reform the city and the community, with Nehemiah serving as governor of the province and Ezra as an administrative official and priest.²⁸ The books of Ezra and Nehemiah concentrate on the situation in Yehud and its strug-

last Neo-Babylonian governor of the province of Yehud who was reappointed by Cyrus, see the arguments by J. M. Silverman, "Sheshbazzar, a Judean or a Babylonian? A Note on His Identity," in Stökl and Waerzeggers, *Exile and Return*, 308–21.

²⁷ D.V. Edelman, *The Origins of the "Second Temple": Persian Imperial Policy and the Rebuilding of Jerusalem* (London: Equinox, 2005), has raised objections to dating temple reconstruction to the time of Haggai and Zechariah and suggests, instead, that it actually took place during the period associated with Ezra and Nehemiah. It is possible that the date cited in Ezra for the dedication of the temple in 515 BCE reflects an ideological number intended to coincide with the 70 years of exile prophesied by Jeremiah, but there is no clear evidence that the figure is improbable. Certainly, the Jerusalem temple remained a focal point even during the Templeless period (587–515 BCE). Further repair of or construction on the temple likely also occurred in conjunction with the mission of Nehemiah, which would explain some of the evidence highlighted in her analysis.

²⁸ The missions of Ezra and Nehemiah tend to be associated with the reign of Artaxerxes I (464–424), son of Darius I. The order of the missions remains debated, but a majority regards Nehemiah arriving in Yehud before Ezra.

Index of Biblical and Extra-Biblical References

Biblical

		2.2	
Genesis		32	45
1-11	147	32:7-10	45
2-11	148	32:11-14	45
8:21	164	32:30-35	45
10	32	33:9-11	45
10:10	110	33:12-17	45
11	148	33:13	45
12:1-3	142, 146, 147, 148	34:26	143
12:2	147		
12:3	147	Leviticus	
12-50	147	1:9	164
14	31, 32, 33	3:11	164
14:1-11	32	16	45
14:1–17	33	21:21-22	164
14:18-20	32	27:6-25	125
14:21-24	33	27:14-29	125
31:50	68		
36:2-14	55	Numbers	
38	55	16:1-2	55
		16:2	45
Exodus		16:12-15	45
book of	53	16:27-28	45
2:1-10	46	16:28-32	45
2:10	53	16:32	45
6:1	144	16:33	45
6:6	144	16:34	45
6-15	144	16-17	58
7:5	144	20:12-13	45
7:19	144	22:41	54, 55
9:22	144	23:1	54
9:23	144	23:13	54
10:12	144	23:14	54
10:13	144	23:27	54
10:21	144	23:28	49, 54
10:22	144	23:29	54
14:16	144	24:7	48
14:21	144	24:17	53, 55
14:26	144	25:1-5	142
14:27	144	28:2	164
15:12	144		
19:6	43	Deuteronomy	
23:19	143	book of	45, 83, 84, 141, 144
28:30	163	1:1	51
20.30	103	1.1	J1

1:1-2	51	24:15	141
1:2	51	26:8	144
1:16	143	27	37
1:36	55	27:2	37
1:44	51	28:14	80
2:1	51	28:36	44
2:4	51	28:37	44
2:5	51		44
		28:64-68	
2:8	51	29:21-22	143
2:12	51	29:22	141
2:22	51	31:1-2	50
2:29	51	31:15-16	45
3:17	50, 51	32	52
3:27	51, 54	32:2	48
4:29	81	32:10	49, 52
4:34	144	33	47, 51, 56
4:49	50, 51	33:1	44, 46
5:15	141, 144	33:2	46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 53,
		33.2	
5:32	80	22.2.5	56, 57
7	144	33:3-5	51
7:1-5	142	33:26	52
7:19	144	33:28	50
9	45	33:29	55
9:1-6	144	34:1	50, 51, 54
10:18	143	34:5-6	54
11:2	144		
11:12	81	Joshua	
11:24	55	1:7	80
11:25	55	7:21–26	142
		8	
12:2-7	144		37, 38
12:5	161	8:30-35	37, 38
14	144	9:6	146
14:21	141, 143	9:9	146
14:22-29	161	9:23-27	132
15:3	141, 143	9:27	131, 145, 146
15:15	141	10:28-29	134
16:11	143	11:10-15	142
16:12	141	22	37
17	75, 80, 142	22:11	145
17:9	57	23:6	80
17:14-20	14	24	37
17:15		24:26	135
	141, 142, 143	24:20	155
17:18	57	т 1	
17:20	80	Judges	
18:1	57	5	47, 49
20:10	146	5:4	48, 49, 53
20:15-16	146	5:4-5	46, 47, 48, 49, 51, 53, 57
20:16	146	5:5	47, 48, 49
20:16-17	142	7:25	142
23:15	141	19:12	141, 143
23:20	141	* *	, -
23:20-21	143	Samuel	
24:8	163	books of	53
47.U	103	DOURS OF	33

1 Samuel		8:35-36	141
1:7	135	8:37-40	141
1:28	130	8:38	145, 150
2	53	8:41	143, 151
2:1-10	46	8:41-43	21, 141, 142, 143, 145,
8	13	0.11 15	146, 147, 149, 150, 151
12:15	68	8:42	144, 145, 147, 150
14:41	163	8:43	143, 145, 147, 150
15	142	8:44-45	141
20:23	68	8:44-53	143
23:19	49	8:46-50	141
23:24	49	8:46-53	44
26:1	49	8:53	149
26:3	49	9:3	145
		10:8	149
2 Samuel		11:1	143
book of	53	11:2	142
6:9	139	11:8	143
6:13	53, 54	14:21	145
6:19	138, 139	11.21	113
7:7–17	13	2 Kings	
		2 Kings	162
15:19	141, 143	12:2	163
22	46, 53	14:3	80
22:2-3	46	15:3	80
22:11	52	15:34	80
22:17	53	18:3	80
23:1-7	46	21:1	77
		21:4	145
1 Kings		21:24	80
3-8	141	22	78, 79, 80, 82
5:1-5	12	22:1-2	80, 81
5:6-10	18	22:2	77, 80
5:11	48	22:3-6	81
5:15-19	12	22:3-23:3	82
5:20-24	18	22:4	83
7:15-22	19	22:8	82
	19	22:13	
7:23–26			82
7:27–38	19	22–23	15, 77, 79, 80, 81, 83, 161
8	148, 151, 161	23:4-20	82
8:3-11	141	23:6	84
8:12-42	141	23:27	145
8:16	145	25:13-17	169
8:22	149		
8:22-53	141	Chronicles	
8:23	149	book of	16, 19, 31, 38, 39, 40, 41,
8:27	145, 150, 161		42, 44, 53, 58, 71, 77, 78,
8:29	145, 150		79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 86,
8:30	145, 150		87, 88, 90, 113, 131, 145,
8:31	145, 150		149, 150, 151
8:31-32	141		-,,
8:33	145, 150	1 Chronicles	
8:33–34		1:35–37	55
	141		
8:35	145, 150	1:36-37	46

	20.02	22.4	
1-9	39, 82	33:4	145
2:4-6	55	33:7	145
3:18	9	33:8	77
6:13-33	56	33:21-24	80
6:17	56	33:25	80
6:18-23	56	34	15, 78
6:23	77	34:2	77, 79, 80, 81
6:39-45	125	34:3	81
9:2	131	34:3-8	79, 90
9:20	131	34:6	82
10-29	39	34:7	82
10:13	81	34:8	82
15:13	77	34:8-31	82
15:26	53, 54	34:9	83
15-16	47, 52, 53, 56, 57	34:21	82
16:3	139	34:26	81
16:8-22	43	34-35	15, 77, 78, 79, 80, 83
16:13	43	35:7	125
18:14	77	36:7-18	169
18-20	40	36:22-23	16, 150
22:19	81	36:23	41
23:31	77	00.20	
24:19	77	Ezra	
27:1	125	book of	20
28:1	125	1-6	11, 16
20.1	123	1:3-6	11, 10
2 Chronicles		1:5-11	9
1–9	39	2	136
6:3-42	141	2:38	135
6:5-42 6:5-6		2:43	131
	145		
6:12-33	145	2:52	135
6:20	145	2:53	135
6:32	151	2:58	131, 132
6:32-33	150, 151	4:9-10	110
6:42	149	5:3-4	113
7:14	145	5:7-17	114
7:16	145	5:14	9
9:8	77	5:14-16	9
10-36	39	5:16	9
12:13	145	5:17-6:12	128
12:14	81	6:1-2	114, 117
14:4	81	6:3	114
15:12	81	6:3-5	9, 114
18:7	81	6:6-12	114
20:3	81	6:9	114, 137
25:2	80	6:14-22	10
26:4	80	6:15	17
27:2	80	7:7	132
29:2	80	7:12-20	114
29:21	54	7:17	114
30:19	81	7:19	114
31:3	125	7:21-26	114
33	82	7:22	114

7:24	114, 128	13:7	124
ch. 7–Neh. 13	11	13:10	125
8:17-20	132	13:10-13	127
8:20	131		
8:33-34	135	Job	
chs. 9–10	124, 143	16:19	68
		10.17	00
10:7	124	_ ,	
10:8	125	Psalms	
10:22	135	book 4	71
		book 5	71, 72, 73, 75
Ezra-Nehemiah		2	13
	11 25 50 02 112 114		
book of	11, 25, 78, 83, 113, 114,	2:2	43
	133, 151	9–10	58
		18	46, 48, 52, 53, 57, 73
Nehemiah		18:1	43, 52
book of	20	18:7-9	46
2:8	112	18:8	46, 48, 52
2:8-9	137	18:10-12	53
2:9	112	18:11	52
2:10	124	18:12	46, 52
2:19	124	18:14-15	46
3	114, 115	18:15	52
3:1	112, 130	18:17	53
3:4	135	18:30	73
3:8	115	18:35	73
3:12	115	18:37	48, 53
3:21	135	18:39	53, 55
3:26	131	18:47	53
3:31	115, 130, 131	18:51	43, 46, 52, 53
3:31-32	115	19:5-6	46
3:32	115	19:7	46
3:35	124		43
		20:7	
7	136	28:8	43
7:2	112	31	53
7:41	135	36	46
7:47	132	36:6	52
7:54	135	37	46
7:55	135	42	58
7:61	124	42:6	58
9:8	124	42:12	58
9:36	124	42-43	58
10	114, 127, 136	42-83	47, 49, 55, 58
10:29	132	43:5	58
10:34	124	45	13
10:37-38	127	47	43
10:38	127	47:10	43
10:38-40	127	51	43
11:9	112	51:1-2	49
11:14	112	51:2	43
11:21	115, 130, 131, 132	52	43
12:3	135	52:1-2	49
12:29	112	54:1-2	49
12:39	112	56:1	49
14.37	112	50.1	T /

	40	00.6	50. 65
57:1	49	89:6	52, 65
57:11	52	89:6-9	66
58:1	49	89:6-19	66
60	48	89:7	65, 68
68	46, 47, 48, 52, 57	89:10-11	65, 66
68:2	52	89:12	65
68:5	51, 52	89:14	68
68:6	50	89:20-28	66
68:7	48	89:20-38	65, 66, 68
68:7-8	49	89:21	66
68:8	47, 48, 49, 52, 53	89:22	56, 68
68:8-9	46, 47, 48, 49, 51, 57	89:24	68
68:8-11	49	89:26	66
68:9	48, 51, 52	89:28	68
68:17	47, 50	89:29-30	67
68:18	47, 49, 50, 51	89:29-38	67
68:20	52	89:30	68
68:21	50	89:34-35	67
68:22	53, 55	89:36	66
68:24	52, 55	89:36-38	67
68:25	50	89:37	67, 68
68:34	52	89:37–38	67
68:35	52	89:38	43, 52, 67
72	13	89:39	43, 68
			*
74	43, 162	89:39-52	65
74:9	43	89:39-52	68
77	48	89:40	68
77:17-20	45, 46	89:41	68
77:18	52	89:43	68
77:20	45	89:44	68
77:21	44, 45	89:45	68
78:23	52	89:51	43, 73
78:40	52	89:52	43
78:70-72	45	90	44, 50
80:10-11	66	90:1	44, 45, 46, 50
80:12	66	90-106	43, 44, 45, 47, 53, 57
80:23-24	67	93	69
82	66	93:3-5	46
84	57, 58	95-99	69
84:10	43	96:8	165
84-89	44, 55, 57	97	48
87	43	97:2-5	53
88	48	99:6	45
88-89	47	99:6-8	45
89	43, 48, 65, 66, 68, 69,	101	13, 72
	71, 72, 74	103	72
89:1-37	13	103:7	45
89:2-3	66	104:3	52
89:2-5	65	105	56, 57
89:2-19	65, 68	105:1-15	43
89:3	43, 65	105:6	43, 45, 56
89:4	43, 03	105:9	43, 43, 30
89:4-5	66		43
07.4-3	00	105:15	TJ

105:16-46	43	Isaiah	
105:26	43, 45	2:2	151, 159
105:42	43	6:1	19
105:43	43	6:3	47
105-106	45	17:6	138
106:5	43	19:1	52
106:14	52	34	47
106:16	45, 56	34:1-4	56
106:16-17	45	34:5-17	56
106:23	43, 45, 56	35	56
106:32	45, 56	40-66	158
106:32-33	45	41:8	56, 58
107:4	52	41:10	56
108	72	42:1	43, 56, 58
108:5	52	42:13	51
108-110	72	43:20	43
109	72	45:1	25
110	13, 53, 72, 73	45:4	43
110:5	53, 55	49:14	162
110:5	53, 55	55:1-3	73
115	70	56-66	8, 20
115:3	70	56:1-7	43
115:15	69, 70	56:6	144
113.13			
120–134	75 57	56:6–7 56:7	144 151
		57:3–13	
121	69 69		8
121:2		60	56
122	48, 72	60:1-3	56
124	69, 72	63:1-5	56
124:8	69	63:1-6	47
127	72	63:6	56
131	72	63:11	56
132	57	63:12	56
132:10	43	65:9	43
132:11	73	65:15	43
132:17-18	73	65:22	43
134	70	66:1-6	20
134:3	69, 70	T 1	
135	69	Jeremiah	
135: 5-6	69	book of	55
138–145	72, 73	7:14	15
139:19-24	73	9	57, 58
140–143	73	18:18	163
144	73	20:1-6	135
146	70	21:5	144
146:5-9	70	27:16-22	169
146:6	69	28:3	169
146:10	70	28:6	169
- 1		29:23	68
Proverbs		31:38	112
3:9	165	33:17	57
		33:18	57
		42:5	68

48:45	55	3:15	46
52:17-23	169	3:18	46
T			
Lamentations	1.62	Haggai	10 11 12 16 17 10 20
book of	162	book of	10, 11, 13, 16, 17, 19, 20,
2:6	15	1.2.4	33, 34, 35, 140, 165
2:7	15	1:2-4	165
5:18	15	1:7-11	165
Ezekiel		1-2 2:18-19	165 165
7:26	163	2:16-19	34
9:9	163	2.23	34
40-48	19	Zechariah	
41:22	164	Zechanan	
44:16	164	book of	11, 19, 20
44:29	125	1-8	8, 13, 16, 20
43:7	21	14:10	112
44:7-9	132	14.10	112
45:1-8	125	Micah	
45:2	125	1:2	68
45:4	125	1.2	00
45:5	125	Malachi	
45:5-8	125	1:7	164
45:6	125	1:12	164
45:7	125	2:14	68
47:1-12	118	3:5	68
17.11 12	110	0.0	00
Daniel		Sirach	
1:2	169	45:10	163
5	35		
5:2-4	169	1 Maccabees	
5:20-21	35	1:33	112
5:23	169		
5-6	36	2 Maccabees	
		2:13	113
Habakkuk			
3	47, 48	1 Ezra	
3:3-6	48	9:4	125
3:3-7	46, 48		
3:5	47, 53	New Testament	
3:8	46, 52		
3:10	48	Matthew	
3:10-11	46	1:1-17	58

Extra-biblical

Aḥiqar's Proverbs	115	PTS 2097 PTS 2097 15-17	116 116, 120
ANET 315-316	2, 3, 17	PTS 2097 27-29	116
		TCL 12	120
Arad Inscriptions		TCL 227	120
nº 18:9	135	YBC 3449	126
nº 49	135	YBT 6	120
		YBT 11	120
Bisitun/Behistun I	Inscription	YBT 150	120
	6, 27, 34, 87	YOS 3, nº 154	111
DB	30, 79, 99	YOS 3, nº 170	111
DB 1.24-27	28	YOS 6 10	121
DB 1-2	27	YOS 6 11	121
	27		121
DB 1-9		YOS 6 71	
DB 4.52-59	29	YOS 6 154	130
DB 10-14	27	T1 4	
DB 14 1.61-71	6	El-Amarna Letters	: 115
DB 15-54	27		
DB 21	62	Elephantine and other Aramaic Documents	
DB 55-70	27	A4.1:1	158
DB 70	31	A4.1:10	158
DB 70-71	28	A4.3:1	161
DB 71-76	27	A4.3:5	161
DB Aram	31, 39	A4.5:15	164
DB Bab	31	A4.7	158, 162, 166, 168, 169
DD Dao	31	A4.7:5	158
COS 2:314-16	2, 17	A4.7:8	158
CO3 2:314-10	2, 17		169
CAD D 102		A4.7:12–13	
CAD R, 103	105	A4.7:15	160
(n° 9)	125	A4.7:15–17 par.	160, 163
CAD Š, 1 188	111	A4.7:18-19	158
		A4.7:19 par.	162
Cyrus Cylinder	2, 3, 17, 77, 86–90, 99	A4.7:22	158
line 7	86	A4.7:24	166
line 8	86	A4.7:25	168
line 11	86	A4.7:26 par.	167
line 12	86	A4.7:27-28	160
line 13	86	A4.7:28 par.	166
line 20	86	A4.7:29	158
line 22	86	A4.8	158, 162, 166, 168, 169
lines 25-26	86	A4.8:14	160
111100 20 20		A4.8:16-18	158
Daiva Inscription ((YPh)	A4.8:22	158
Daiva miscription (99		166
	99	A4.8:23-24	
D. 1. (A11. 1		A4.8:26-27	166
Deir 'Alla inscription		A4.8:28	158
KAI 312:2	163	A4.9	158, 168
		A4.9:3-4	160
Eanna Archives		A4.9:5	168
BIN 1, nº 41	111	A4.9:8	168
NBC 4620	126	A4.9:10	168

A4.10	168	nº 5:13	134
A4.10:6	158	nº 77:2	134
A4.10:8	158	nº 95:1	134
A4.10:9	168	nº 283	134, 138
A4.18	158		,
B3.12	169	Moussaieff ostracon	
B3.12:1-2	159		138
B3.12:18-19	160		
D7.17:1-4	164	Mesha inscription	
		KAI 181:5-6	159
Fortification inscr	iption, Susa		
	87	Old Persian inscriptions, Pasargadae	
			99
Gilgamesh Epic	117	CMa	28
I.i.18-19	116	PBerlin 13582	98
XI.323-24	116		
		Persepolis Fortification Tablets (PFT)	
Herodotus		•	97
Histories		T 1 (3) 1 1 1	D
4.166	129	Tomb at Naqsh-i-	
6	62	DNa	28, 40, 41, 63, 79, 88
8.98	116	DNa 1	59
		DNa 1-2	61
Josephus		DNa 1-8	28
		DNa 4	63
Antiquities	121	DNb	28, 40, 63, 64, 79, 88
11.5.1	131	DNb 2a-2e	63
11.12–18	115	DNb XP1	64
11.14	115	Т.1 1: . С	
11.15	115	Talmudic Sources	
11.148	125	b.Hor. 13a	133
14.188	115	m.Hor. 3:8	133
15.11,4	112	b. Qidd. 70b	131, 133
Life		m.Qidd. iii:3	131
6	115	m.Qidd. iv:1	133
		b.Yeb. 2:4	131
Maqqedah ostraca		Ugarit, Baal Myth	
	133, 134, 136, 137, 138,	CTA 1.3–1.4	165
	140		= * *

Abraham, K. 122, 171
Abu-Assaf, A. 18, 171
Achenbach, R. 45, 55, 171
Ackroyd, P. R. 9, 171
Agut-Labordére, D. 96, 98, 128, 130, 171
Aharoni, Y. 132, 135, 136, 171
Ahlström, G.W. 99, 171
Albertz, R. 3, 5, 9, 13, 145, 146, 171
Amzallag, N. 48, 50, 171
Anbar, M. 116, 171
Andersen, F.I. 46, 171
Anderson, G.A. 167, 171
Ashley, T.R. 54, 171
Auwers, J. -M. 58, 171

Bahari, K. 28, 172 Baker, H.D. 100, 172 Barrick, W.B. 78, 81, 172 Baslez, M-F. 113, 172 Bautch, R. 93 Beaulieu, P.-A. 111, 120, 121, 126, 149, 168, Becking, B. 8, 110, 158, 169, 172, 173 Bedford, P.R. 7, 11, 173 Begg, C.T. 78, 173 Berger, P. R. 17, 173 Berges, U. 70, 173 Bergren, T.A. 113, 173 Berlejung, A. 16, 173 Berossus 104, 105 Birkeland, H. 72, 73, 173 Bivar, A. D. H. 104, 166, 173 Blenkinsopp, J. 4, 7, 8, 44, 81, 83, 124, 125, 132, 173 Bloch-Smith, E. 18, 173 Boda, M.J. 78, 173 Bodi, D. 21, 109, 112, 114, 115, 116, 118, 124, 132, 138, 173, 174 Boer, R. 25, 174 Botha, P.J. 43, 53, 174 Botta, A.F. 156, 174 Boucharlat, R. 102, 174 Bowick, J. E. 27, 33, 34, 35, 174 Boyce, M. 105, 174 Bresiani, E. 4, 174 de Breuker, G. 105, 174

Briant, P. 1, 25, 29, 77, 103, 104, 105, 127, 128, 174, 175
Bridges, E. 63, 175
Briggs, C.A. 66, 175
Briggs, E.G. 66, 175
Brinkman, J.A. 120, 175
Britt, B. 48, 175
Broshi, M. 133, 175
Brosius, M. 25, 101, 175
Brown, T.S. 27, 175
Bryce, T.R. 103, 175
Burnett, J.S. 49, 175
Burstein, S. M. 104, 175
Busink, T.A. 18, 175
Butler, T.C. 48, 175

Cagni, L. 127, 175 Cameron, G.C. 2, 175 Canepa, M. P. 97, 175 Carr, D.M. 147, 176 Cazelles, H. 126, 176 Charpin, D. 123, 176 Christensen, D.L. 52, 176 Claissé-Walford, de, N. 67, 176 Clements, R. E. 16, 176 Clines, D.J.A. 112, 176 Cogan, M. 17, 78, 143, 144, 145, 149, 176 Collins, A.Y. 35, 176 Collins, J. J. 35, 176 Cornell, C. 155, 159, 160, 176 Craigie, P.C. 146, 176 Creach, J. 75, 176 Cross, F.M. 35, 48, 176

Dalman, G. 124, 176
Dandamaev, M.A. 2, 6, 26, 111, 176
Davies, P.R. 2, 177
Delgado, J.M.S. 4, 177
Démare-Lafont, S. 115, 119, 123, 177
Dougherty, R.P. 130, 177
Dozeman, T.B. 67, 144, 177
Dumbrell, W.J. 150, 177
Dupont-Sommer, A. 104, 177
Dusinberre, E.R.M. 104, 177
Dušek, J. 30, 177

Eaton, J. 72, 73, 177
Edelman, D.V. 10, 71, 74, 112, 127, 137, 138, 177
Ego, B. 69, 177
Eichmann, R. 110, 178
Eissfeldt, O. 127, 178
Emmendörffer, M. 55, 178
Eph'al, I. 137, 178
van Ess, M. 118, 178

Faulkner, R. O. 55, 178
Favry, N. 55, 178
Finkelstein, I. 112, 178
Fischer, G. 57, 178
Fitzpatrik-McKinley, A. 107, 178
Flint, P. W. 35, 176
Frahm, E. 111
Frame, G. 110, 116, 120, 121, 178
Frei, P. 128, 178
Fretheim, T. E. 145, 151, 178
Freydank, H. 122, 178
Fritz, V. 18, 143, 179
Fried, L. S. 26, 96, 106, 107, 115, 178, 179
Fulton, D. N. 15, 77

Gadot, Y. 26, 188 Galling, K. 9, 179 Garrett, J. 153, 179 Gehlken, E. 110, 179 Geller, M. 123, 179 Gelston, A. 9, 179 Genito, B. 102, 103, 179 George, A. 95, 179 Gerstenberger, E.S. 1, 25, 48, 179 Gillingham, S. 73, 74, 180 Glassner, J-J. 85, 180 Glatt-Gilad, D.A. 82, 180 Gnoli, G. 103, 180 Goldingay, J. 35, 56, 180 Gordon, B. D. 137, 180 Gorre, G. 128, 130, 171 Gosse, B. 14, 43, 44, 45, 46, 50, 52, 53, 55, 56, 57, 180 Gottwald, N.K. 71, 180 Goulder, M.D. 72, 73, 181 Grabbe, L. L. 4, 8, 9, 62, 114, 127, 181

Gottwald, N.K. 71, 180 Goulder, M. D. 72, 73, 181 Grabbe, L. L. 4, 8, 9, 62, 114, 127, 181 Granderød, G. 4, 21, 28, 29, 30, 31, 79, 86, 88, 155, 159, 160, 161, 162, 164, 166, 167, 169, 181 Graslin-Thomé, L. 121, 123, 139, 181 Gray, J. 144, 181 Greenfield, J. C. 29, 182 Gross, W. 48, 182 Gudme, de Hemmer, A. K. 165, 166, 182

Hallock, R.T. 28, 182 Haran, M. 132, 182 Hartenstein, F. 70, 182 Haubold, J. 105, 182 Hays, R.P. 77 Helms, S.W. 102, 182 Heltzer, M. 135, 182 Henkelman, W.F.M. 97, 99, 100, 182 Herodotus 62, 95, 97, 115, 116, 129 Herrenschmidt, C. 60, 61, 182 Herzog, Z. 135, 182 Hinz, M. 116, 182 Hoglund, K.G. 2, 7, 177 Hossfeld, F-L. 47, 49, 66, 68, 70, 72, 182, Houtman, C. 69, 70, 183 Hundley, M.B. 16, 159, 183 Hunger, H. 122, 183 Hunkin, G.A. 153, 183 Hurowitz, V.A. 20, 183 Hurvitz, A. 127, 183

Jacobson, R.A. 67, 176
Jagersma, H. 127, 183
Janowski, B. 18, 183
Janzen, D.J. 11, 62, 183
Japhet, S. 9, 11, 53, 54, 78, 79, 80, 81, 83, 141, 144, 145, 151, 183
Jarick, J. 19, 183
Joannès, F. 117, 119, 183
Joffe, L. 49, 184
Jones, G. H. 144, 184
Jonker, L. C. 14, 25, 31, 38, 39, 41, 78, 79, 80, 83, 84, 125, 184
Josephus 112, 115, 125, 131
Joyce, P.M. 19, 184
Jursa, M. 93, 94, 98, 111, 119, 122, 184, 185

Kapelrud, A.S. 99, 185
Kaper, O.E. 96, 185
Kent, R.B. 6, 185
Kessler, J. 140, 185
Kessler, K. 95, 122, 185
Kleber, K. 94, 100, 185
Klein, R. W. 56, 78, 83, 84, 185
Klingbeil, M. 70, 186
Knauf, E.A. 48, 136, 186
Knauf, F.S. 102, 103, 186
Knoppers, G. N. 11, 14, 22, 55, 77, 78, 79, 83, 84, 109, 186

Koch, K. 128, 178 Kratz, R.G. 4, 186 Kraus, H.J. 66, 67, 186 Krause, J.J. 141, 142, 186 Kuhrt, A. 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 17, 29, 62, 63, 64, 86, 87, 95, 98, 104, 105, 106, 186, 187

Labat, R. 120, 126, 187 Lafont, S. 111, 187 Landsberger, B. 116, 120, 187 Lanfranchi, R. 105, 182 Langgut, D. 26, 188 Lawler, A. 111, 187 Leeb, C.S. 81, 187 Lemaire, A. 128, 134, 137, 138, 187 Leuenberger, M. 48, 187 Levenson, J.D. 19, 187 Levine, B.A. 54, 55, 133, 187, 188 Lewis, D.M. 127, 190 Lincoln, B. 59, 60, 182, 188 Lindars, B. 49, 188 Lindenberger, J. M. 160, 163, 188 Lipschits, O. 2, 8, 26, 109, 188 Liuaana, F.B. 152, 188 Liverani, M. 85, 188 Llewellyn-Jones, L. 103, 189 Lloyd, A.B. 4, 95, 96, 189 Lukonin, V.G. 2, 176 Lundbom, J.R. 50, 189

MacGinnis, J. 126, 189 McEwan, G. J. P. 122, 189 McKenzie, S. L. 78, 189 McNutt, P.M. 19, 189 Mader, A. E. 136, 189 Magonet, J. 70, 189 Mallowan, M. 2, 189 Manning, J.G. 129, 189 Margueron, J. 18, 189 Marinkovic, P. 8, 189 Martin, C.J. 96, 98, 189 Martirossian, A. 120, 189 Maul, S. M. 168, 189 Mayrhofer, M. 104, 190 Mazar, B. 124, 190 Meeks, D. 128, 190 Meggis, R. 127, 190 Meleisea, M. 154, 190 Mendelsohn, I. 132, 190 Mettinger, T.N.D. 66, 190 Metzger, H. 103, 104, 190 Meyers, C. 12, 190

Lundquist, J.M. 12, 189

Middlemas, J. 2, 4, 8, 15, 16, 20, 21, 77, 110, 144, 190
Miller, J. M. and Hayes, J. H. 9, 190
Mitchell, C. 40, 41, 71, 78, 79, 81, 84, 88, 93, 190, 191
Mosca, P.G. 67, 191
Moleli, S. 21, 141
Monson, J. 18, 191
Morrow, W. 145, 191
Mowinckel, S. 66, 72, 73, 191
Mullen, Jr., E. T. 67, 191
Muraoka, T. 160, 163, 191

Na'aman, N. 31, 32, 33, 83, 191 Naveh, J. 115, 116, 137, 178, 191 Nelson, R.D. 37, 50, 51, 191 Newsom, C.A. 35, 191 Nieling, J. 101, 191 Nielsen, K. 46, 191 Nissinen, M. 93 Nogalski, J. 77, 93 North, R. 111, 191 Noth, M. 148, 191

Olmo Lete, del, G. 49, 191 Oppenheim, A. L. 3, 130, 192 Ottosson, M. 16, 192

Parpola, S. 115, 192
Payne, E.E. 119, 192
Perdu, O. 95, 96, 192
Pfeiffer, H. 47, 48, 192
Pikor, W. 124, 192
Polaski, D.C. 36, 37, 38, 192
Pomykala, K.E. 12, 192
Porten, B. 29, 115, 129, 134, 135, 136, 137, 139, 156, 158, 160, 163, 182, 191, 192
Portier-Young, A. 72, 73, 74, 192
Posener, G. 128, 192
Powell, M.A. 19, 192
Puech, E. 133, 192

Rad, von, G. 145, 146, 193 Razmjou, S. 97, 193 Rehm, E. 101, 191 Renger, J. 122, 193 Richter, S. L. 145, 193 Ristau, K.A. 8, 27, 77, 78, 82, 83, 85, 109, 140, 193 Roaf, M. 97, 193 Robson, J. 103, 189 Rollinger, R. 29, 105, 182, 193 Rom-Shiloni, D. 110

Römer, T. C. 46, 141, 142, 144, 162, 193 Rooke, D.W. 99, 193 Root, M. C. 61, 62, 63, 193 Rose, M. 51, 193

Sack, R.H. 129, 193 Saggs, H. W. F. 129, 194 San Nicolò, M. 122, 194 Sancisi-Weerdenburg, H. 61, 96, 194 Sauneron, S. 129, 194 Schaudig, H. 99, 194 Schmid, K. 69, 93, 148, 194 Schmitt, R. 26, 27, 28, 29, 61, 194 Schoeffel, P. 154, 190 Seybold, K. 70, 74, 194 Shenkar, M. 102, 194 Silverman, J.M. 10, 12, 21, 93, 98, 99, 105, 194, 195 Ska, J-L. 148, 195 Snearly, M. K. 73, 195 Spek, van der, R. J. 17, 129, 195 Spencer, N. 95, 195 Speiser, E.A. 148, 195 Steck, O. H. 147, 195 Steele, J. 105, 182 Stern, E. 2, 26, 195 Stern, S. 85, 195 Stolper, M. W. 121, 195 Stronk, J. P. 103, 195 Suaalii-Sauni, T. 152, 153, 195 Süssenbach, C. 49, 196 Sweeney, M.A. 44, 143, 196

Talshir, Z. 78, 196
Tate, M. E. 68, 196
Tavernier, J. 94, 196
Teixidor, J. 103, 104, 196
Ter-Martirosov, F. I. 102, 196
Terrien, S. 53, 196
Timm, S. 5, 196
Tiňo, J. 142, 196
Tofaeono, A. 152, 154, 196
Tolini, G. 5, 196
Toorn, van der, K. 157, 196

Szpakowska, K. 95, 100, 196

Tucker, W.D. 14, 71, 73, 77, 196 Tuell, S.S. 19, 196 Tuplin, C. 27, 104, 196, 197 Turner, B.L. 67, 176

Vaai, S. 153, 197 Van Driel, G. 111, 197 VanEpps, C. 35, 176 Vasilev, M.I. 101, 197 Vaux, de, R. 139, 197 Veijola, T. 66, 67, 68, 197 Vesco, J-L. 50, 197 Vittmann, G. 96, 197 Vries, de, S.J. 151, 197

Waerzeggers, C. 94, 95, 96, 98, 99, 100, 121, Wasmuth, M. 96, 197 Waters, M.W. 1, 2, 25, 29, 105, 198 Weber, B. 46, 198 Weinberg, J. P. 119, 124, 132, 198 Weinfeld, M. 89, 198 Weisberg, D.B. 115, 198 Weiser, A. 53, 198 Wenham, G. J. 147, 198 West, G.O. 25, 198 Westermann, C. 148, 198 de Wette, W. M. L. 83 Whitelam, K.W. 12, 14, 198 Wiesehöfer, J. 25, 198 Williamson, H.G.M. 78, 198 Wilson, G. H. 71, 198 Wilson-Wright, A. 29, 30, 198 Wright, J.L. 112, 125, 150, 198 Wyrick, J. 72, 198

Yardeni, A. 29, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 139, 156, 158, 175, 192, 198 Yoyette, J. 98, 198

Zakovitch, Y. 44, 198 Zangenberg, J. K. 155, 157, 198, 199 Zenger, E. 47, 49, 66, 68, 70, 72, 182, 183 ben Zvi, E. 78, 79, 151, 199